



HCP(MD)No.1696 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 12.07.2023

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH  
AND  
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.(MD)No.1696 of 2022

K.Subbulakshmi

.. Petitioner /Mother of the Detenu

Vs.

1.State of Tamil Nadu,  
Represented by the Additional Chief Secretary to Government,  
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,  
Secretariat,  
Chennai – 600 009.

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,  
Tirunelveli District,  
Tirunelveli.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,  
Central Prison,  
Palayamkottai,  
Tirunelveli.

.. Respondents

**PRAYER:** Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the entire records connected with the detention order passed in M.H.S.Confdl.No.166 of 2022 dated 19.09.2022 on the file of the second respondent herein and quash the same



HCP(MD)No.1696 of 2022

and direct the respondents to produce the detenu or body of the detenu namely the petitioner's son i.e., Naveen, aged about 21 years, S/o.Kannan alias Kabalikannan, now detained at the Central Prison, Palayamkottai, before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Pragalathan

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar  
Additional Public Prosecutor

### **ORDER**

**(Order of the Court was made by M.S.RAMESH,J.)**

The petitioner is the mother of the detenu viz., Naveen, aged about 21 years, S/o.Kannan alias Kabalikannan. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in M.H.S.Confdl No.166/2022 dated 19.09.2022 holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.



HCP(MD)No.1696 of 2022

WEB COPY

3. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly focus his argument on the ground that there is gross violation of procedural safeguards, which would vitiate the detention. The learned counsel, by placing authorities, submitted that the representation made by the petitioner was not considered on time and there was an inordinate and unexplained delay.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the Habeas Corpus Petition by filing his counter. He would submit that though there was delay in considering the representation, on that score alone, the impugned detention order cannot be quashed. According to the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, no prejudice has been caused to the detenu and thus, there is no violation of the fundamental rights guaranteed under Articles 21 and 22 of the Constitution of India.

5. The Detention Order in question was passed on 19.09.2022. The petitioner made a representation dated 11.10.2022. Thereafter, remarks were



*HCP(MD)No.1696 of 2022*

called for by the Government from the Detaining Authority. The remarks were duly received. Thereafter, the Government considered the matter and passed the order rejecting the petitioner's representation on 01.11.2022.

6. It is the contention of the petitioner that there was a delay of 12 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority, of which 5 days were Government holidays and hence there was an inordinate delay of 7 days in submitting the remarks. It is the further contention of the petitioner that there was a delay of 5 days, in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Electricity, Prohibition and Excise Department after the Deputy Secretary dealt with it, of which, 1 day was a Government Holiday and hence, there was inordinate delay of 4 days in considering the representation.

7. In **Rekha vs. State of Tamil Nadu (2011 (5) SCC 244)**, the Honourable Supreme Court has held that the procedural safeguards are required to be zealously watched and enforced by the Courts of law and their rigour cannot be allowed to be diluted on the basis of the nature of the alleged activities undertaken by the detenu.



HCP(MD)No.1696 of 2022

8. In **Sumaiya vs. The Secretary to Government (2007 (2) MWN (Cr.) 145)**, a Division Bench of this Court has held that the unexplained delay of three days in disposal of the representation made on behalf of the detenu would be sufficient to set aside the order of detention.

9. In **Tara Chand vs. State of Rajasthan and others**, reported in **1980 (2) SCC 321**, the Honourable Supreme Court has held that any inordinate and unexplained delay on the part of the Government in considering the representation renders the very detention illegal.

10. In the subject case, admittedly, there is an inordinate and unexplained delay of 7 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority and unexplained delay of 4 days in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Electricity, Prohibition and Excise Department. The impugned detention order is, therefore, liable to be quashed.

11. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in M.H.S.Confdl No.166/2022 dated 19.09.2022 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Naveen, S/o.Kannan alias



HCP(MD)No.1696 of 2022

Kabalikannan, aged about 21 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(M.S.R.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)  
12.07.2023

NCC : Yes / No  
Index : Yes / No  
Lm/mbi

To

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,  
State of Tamil Nadu,  
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,  
Secretariat,  
Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,  
Tirunelveli District,  
Tirunelveli.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,  
Central Prison,  
Palayamkottai,  
Tirunelveli.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.



WEB COPY



*HCP(MD)No.1696 of 2022*

**M.S.RAMESH,J.**  
**and**  
**M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.**

Lm/mbi

H.C.P.(MD)No.1696 of 2022

12.07.2023