



HCP(MD)No.1692 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 06.07.2023

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.(MD)No.1692 of 2022

Y.Panneerselvam

.. Petitioner/Mother of the Detenu

Vs.

1.State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai - 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Tirunelveli City,
Tirunelveli.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli.

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the entire records connected with the detention order passed in No.72/BCDFGISSSV/2022, dated 16.08.2022, on the file of the second respondent, quash the same and direct the



HCP(MD)No.1692 of 2022

respondents to produce the detenu or body of the detenu namely, the petitioner's son, i.e., Milton, aged about 38 years, S/o.Yesupatham, now detained at the Central Prison, Palayamkottai, before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Susikumar
for Mr.N.Pragalathan

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.S.RAMESH, J.)

The petitioner is the mother of the detenu viz., Milton, son of Yesupatham, aged about 38 years, has been detained by the second respondent by his order in No.72/BCDFGISSSV/2022, dated 16.08.2022, holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.



HCP(MD)No.1692 of 2022

WEB COPY

3. Among other grounds, the detention order is mainly attacked on the ground that there is a delay in passing the order of detention. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner strongly contended that though the detenu was arrested on 26.06.2022, the detention order was passed only on 16.08.2022, i.e., after a considerable delay of 51 days. Therefore, the detention order has to be set aside.

4. As seen from the grounds of detention, it is clear that though the detenu was arrested on 26.06.2022, the order of detention came to be passed only on 16.08.2022 and hence, there is an abnormal delay in passing the order of detention, which caused prejudice to the interest of the detenu.

5. We have gone through the entire materials placed on record. There is no satisfactory explanation offered by the Detaining Authority for the delay in passing the order of detention. Hence, the impugned order of detention is liable to be set aside.

6. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in No.72/BCDFGISSSV/2022, dated 16.08.2022, passed by the



HCP(MD)No.1692 of 2022

second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Milton, son of Yesupatham, aged about 38 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
smn2/Lm

(M.S.R.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)
06.07.2023

To

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Tamil Nadu,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai - 600 009.
- 2.The Commissioner of Police,
Tirunelveli City,
Tirunelveli.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.1692 of 2022

M.S.RAMESH,J.
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.

smn2/Lm

H.C.P.(MD)No.1692 of 2022

06.07.2023