



W.P.(MD)No.20910 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 28.08.2023

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI**

W.P.(MD)No.20910 of 2023

K.Murugan

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Regional Passport officer,  
Office of Regional Passport Officer,  
Tiruchirappalli – 620 008.

2.The Inspector of Police,  
Aranthangi Police Station,  
Pudhukottai District.

... Respondents

**PRAYER:** Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking for the issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the first respondent to renew and re-issue the passport to the petitioner in the petitioner's application vide file No.TR2075509741123 dated 26.06.2023 pending on the file of the first respondent within a reasonable time.

|                |                                                              |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : Mr.K.Kharikharadas                                         |
| For R1         | : Mr.V.B.Sundareshwar<br>Central Government Standing Counsel |
| For R2         | : Mr.P.Kottaichamy<br>Government Advocate                    |



W.P.(MD)No.20910 of 2023

## **ORDER**

WEB COPY

The petitioner has submitted an application for re-issuance of a passport and has approached this Court that his application has not been considered by the first respondent.

2.Mr.V.B.Sundareshwar, learned Central Government Standing Counsel, who takes notice for the first respondent submits that based on the police verification report, the petitioner was directed to offer his explanation with regard to the criminal case pending as against this petitioner. However, this petitioner without offering any explanation has approached this Court.

3. Mr.P.Kottaichamy, learned Government Advocate, who takes notice for the second respondent submits that this petitioner is involved in a criminal case in Crime No.120 of 2023 on the file of the Aranthangi Police Station, for the offences punishable under Sections 379 IPC and 21(1), 21(2) of Mines and Minerals (Development and



W.P.(MD)No.20910 of 2023

Regulation) Act and the same is under investigation.

WEB COPY

4.Pendency of an FIR, by itself, cannot be a ground to refuse the passport. The Passport Authority can refuse the passport and any travel documents under Section 6 of the Passport Act, 1967. Section 6(2)(F) of the Act would be relevant and the same is extracted as under:-

*“6.Refusal of passports, travel documents, etc-*

...

*(2)Subject to the other provisions of this Act, the passport authority shall refuse to issue a passport or travel document for visiting any foreign country under clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 5 on any one or more of the following grounds, and on no other ground, namely -*

...

*(f) that proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant are pending before a Criminal Court in India.”*



W.P.(MD)No.20910 of 2023

5.The above provision enables the Passport Authority to refuse the passport or travel document to an applicant on the ground that proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant is pending before a Criminal Court. Registration of an FIR on the police files, based on a complaint, cannot be construed as a proceedings pending before the Criminal Court.

6.The role of the Court pending the investigation has been discussed by various Courts. In ***W.Jaihar William v. State of Tamil Nadu*** [2014 (2) CWC 684], this Court has held as follows:-

*“8. ... It is well settled legal principle that mere pendency of FIR cannot be construed as pendency of criminal proceedings in respect of the offences alleged to have been committed by the applicant before the Criminal Court. Only after the Court takes cognizance of the offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant, as stipulated under Section 190 of Cr.PC., it can be construed as 'proceedings pending before the Court'”*



W.P.(MD)No.20910 of 2023

7.A Division Bench of this Court in **Arumugam v.**

**Regional Passport Officer, Madurai [W.A(MD)No.301 of 2018, dated**

**27.03.2018]**, has held as follows:-

*“8. ... mere pendency of the criminal proceedings cannot be construed as pendency of the criminal proceedings. The decisions relied on for reaching the said conclusion in the above cited decision, would also disclose that unless cognizance is taken by the concerned Magistrate, it does not amount to pendency of the criminal proceedings. Admittedly, in the case on hand, the case is in FIR stage and even for the sake of arguments, subsequently, the charge sheet has been filed, as on the date of submission of the application for passport, only FIR is pending and it cannot be construed as pendency of a criminal case and it cannot be said that the petitioner has suppressed the material fact of pendency of the criminal case.”*

8.In fact, the Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India, has issued a Circular dated 10.10.2019, wherein, the following instruction was issued:-



W.P.(MD)No.20910 of 2023

WEB COPY

*“5. In view of the above, the following instructions may be adopted while processing the passport applications in respect of those applicants who may have criminal proceedings pending before a criminal court in India:*

...

*(vi) ... It may be noted that mere filing of FIRs and cases under investigation do not come under the purview of Section 6(2)(f) and that criminal proceedings would only be considered pending against an applicant if a case has been registered before any Court of law and the court has taken cognizance of the same.”*

Therefore, the Passport Authority is not justified in not deciding the application filed by the petitioner for renewal of passport by referring the criminal case.

9. Ordinarily, the duration of a passport as per Rule 12 of the Passport Rules is for a period of 10 years. In the event if the applicant is facing any criminal proceedings, the passport may be issued by restricting the period.



W.P.(MD)No.20910 of 2023

10. Accordingly, this writ petition is allowed with a direction to the Passport Authority to renew the passport to this petitioner, by considering his application, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

**28.08.2023**

NCC : Yes / No.  
Index : Yes / No.  
Internet : Yes / No.  
vrn

To

1. The Regional Passport officer,  
Office of Regional Passport Officer,  
Tiruchirappalli – 620 008.
2. The Inspector of Police,  
Aranthangi Police Station,  
Pudhukottai District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.20910 of 2023

**B.PUGALENDHI, J.**

vrn

**Order made in**  
**W.P.(MD)No.20910 of 2023**

**28.08.2023**