



CRL OP(MD). No.15395 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 11/10/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.15395 of 2023

Justin Prabahar

... Petitioner/ Accused No.3

Vs

State Rep.by

The Inspector of Police,

Achanpudur Police Station,

Tenkasi District.

Crime No. 123 of 2023.

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Prabu, Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.Sureshkumar, Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.123 of 2023 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under section 420 IPC in Crime No.123 of 2023, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to money dispute, the petitioner herein has abused the defacto complainant and also threatened him with dire consequences.

Hence, the case.

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD). No.15395 of 2023

WEB COPY

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is no way connected with the offence and his name has been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that A1 borrowed a sum of Rs.11,00,000/- from the defacto complainant for investment of his business. When the defacto complainant asked to return the same, the petitioner and other accused have threatened him with dire consequences.

5. On perusal of the FIR, it is seen that it is a case of money dispute between the parties and there is no specific allegations made against the petitioner.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamlendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260, this Court is of the considered view that the alleged offence against the petitioner are not a case of heinous crime. Further, the petitioner is having permanent resident at Kovilpatti and the origin of the crime is money dispute. In this circumstances, the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be



CRL OP(MD). No.15395 of 2023

WEB COPY

encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused have their roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, they can safely be released on his own bond.

7.In view of the above, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sengottai on condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall affix their photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] The petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on receiving summons as directed by the trial Court.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD). No.15395 of 2023

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial

Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
11/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/10/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TRP
TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, SENGOTTAI.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, ACHANPUDUR POLICE STATION,
TENKASI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.M.PRABU, Advocate (SR-15026[I] dated 12/10/2023)



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.15395 of 2023

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.15395 of 2023

Date :11/10/2023

RS/DD/SAR-(20.10.2023) 5P 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing
certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023