



W.P(MD)No.20492 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 23.08.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

W.P(MD)No.20492 of 2023

Mahesh

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Regional Passport Officer,
New Municipal Complex,
Thillai Nagar,
7th Cross,
Tiruchirappalli – 620 018.

2.The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Gandharvakottai Police Station,
Pudukkottai District.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the first respondent to issue passport based on the petitioner's application in File No.TR1065560794523, dated 10.07.2023.

For Petitioner	: Mr.D.Rameshkumar
For R1	: Mr.P.Sundaravadivel Central Government Standing Counsel
For R2	: Mr.Kottaichamy Government Advocate



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.20492 of 2023

ORDER

The petitioner has applied for issuance of passport on 10.07.2023 and has filed this writ petition that his application for grant of passport was not considered by the Passport Authority, on the ground that an FIR is pending as against this petitioner.

2.Mr.P.Sundaravadivel, learned Central Government Standing Counsel, who takes notice for the first respondent submits that based on the police verification report, the passport authorities have issued a show cause notice to the petitioner, calling upon him to attend the enquiry before the first respondent. However, the petitioner without appearing before the first respondent has filed this writ petition.

3.Mr.Kottaichamy, learned Government Advocate, who takes notice for the second respondent submits that the petitioner is involved in a criminal case in Crime No.312 of 2020 for the offences punishable under Sections 4(1) and 4(1-A) of Tamil Nadu



W.P(MD)No.20492 of 2023

Prohibition Act on the file of the Gandharvakottai Police Station. He further submits that a final report has already been filed. However, it was not taken on file.

4.Pendency of an FIR, by itself, cannot be a ground to refuse the passport. The Passport Authority can refuse the passport and any travel documents under Section 6 of the Passport Act, 1967. Section 6(2)(F) of the Act would be relevant and the same is extracted as under:-

“6.Refusal of passports, travel documents, etc-

...

(2)Subject to the other provisions of this Act, the passport authority shall refuse to issue a passport or travel document for visiting any foreign country under clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 5 on any one or more of the following grounds, and on no other ground, namely -

...

(f) that proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant are pending before a Criminal Court in India.”



W.P(MD)No.20492 of 2023

5.The above provision enables the Passport Authority to refuse the passport or travel document to an applicant on the ground that proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant is pending before a Criminal Court. Registration of an FIR on the police files, based on a complaint, cannot be construed as a proceedings pending before the Criminal Court.

6.Similarly, unless and until the Court takes cognizance of the final report filed by the Investigative Agency, it cannot be termed as a proceedings pending before the Criminal Court. ***In Re Narsingh Das Tapadia v. Goverdhan Das Partani & Another [(2000) 7 SCC 183]***, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as follows:-

“8."Taking cognizance of an offence" by the Court has to be distinguished from the filing of the complaint by the complainant. Taking cognizance would mean the action taken by the court for initiating judicial proceedings against the offender in respect of the offence regarding



W.P(MD)No.20492 of 2023

WEB COPY

which the complaint is filed. Before it can be said that any Magistrate or Court has taken cognizance of an offence it must be shown that he has applied his mind to the facts for the purpose of proceeding further in the matter at the instance of the complainant. If the Magistrate or the Court is shown to have applied the mind not for the purpose of taking action upon the complaint but for taking some other kind of action contemplated under the Code Criminal Procedure such as ordering investigation under Section 156(3) or issuing a search warrant, he cannot be said to have taken cognizance of the offence.”

7.A Division Bench of this Court in ***Arumugam v. Regional Passport Officer, Madurai [W.A(MD)No.301 of 2018, dated 27.03.2018]***, has held as follows:-

“8. ... mere pendency of the criminal proceedings cannot be construed as pendency of the criminal proceedings. The decisions relied on for reaching the said conclusion in the above cited decision, would also disclose that unless cognizance is taken by the concerned Magistrate, it does not amount to pendency of the criminal proceedings. ...”



W.P(MD)No.20492 of 2023

WEB COPY

8. In fact, the Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India, has issued a Circular dated 10.10.2019, wherein, the following instruction was issued:-

“5. In view of the above, the following instructions may be adopted while processing the passport applications in respect of those applicants who may have criminal proceedings pending before a criminal court in India:

... (vi) ... It may be noted that mere filing of FIRs and cases under investigation do not come under the purview of Section 6(2)(f) and that criminal proceedings would only be considered pending against an applicant if a case has been registered before any Court of law and the court has taken cognizance of the same.”

Therefore, the Passport Authority is not justified in not deciding the application filed by the petitioner for passport by referring the criminal case.

9. Ordinarily, the duration of a passport as per Rule 12 of the Passport Rules is for a period of 10 years. In the event if the



W.P(MD)No.20492 of 2023

applicant is facing any criminal proceedings, the passport may be issued by restricting the period.

10. Accordingly, this writ petition is allowed with a direction to the Passport Authority to issue passport to this petitioner, by considering his application, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

23.08.2023

NCC :Yes/No

Index :Yes/No

Internet:Yes

vrn

To

1. The Regional Passport Officer,
New Municipal Complex,
Thillai Nagar,
7th Cross,
Tiruchirappalli – 620 018.

2. The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Gandharvakottai Police Station,
Pudukkottai District.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.20492 of 2023

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

vrn

Order made in
W.P(MD)No.20492 of 2023

23.08.2023