



CRL OP(MD). No.15175 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 13/10/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.15175 of 2023

1. D.Rakesh,
2. Indhra @ indira,
3. Sudha

... Petitioners/ Accused No.1 to 3

Vs

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station, Sathur,
Viruthunagar District.
Cr.No. 13/2023.

... Respondent/Complainant

Shenbagadevi

... Intervene Petitioner/Proposed Respondent No.2
in CRL MP(MD)No.13058 of 2023

For Petitioners : M/s.Arun Swaminathan,
Advocate.
For Respondent : Mrs.M.Aasha,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
For Intervenor : Mr.P.Suruli Raja, Advocate

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 13/2023 on the file of the respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.15175 of 2023

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 to A3, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 323 and 406 of IPC and Section 4 of D.P. Act in Crime No.13 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the marriage between the first petitioner and the defacto complainant was solemnized on 10.03.2019 and thereafter they caused cruelty on the defacto complainant. Further all the petitioners demanded more dowry and harassed the defacto complainant. hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and a false case has been foisted against them, hence he seeks anticipatory to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that all the accused persons demanded more dowry and harassed the defacto complainant and also caused cruelty, hence he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CRL OP(MD). No.15175 of 2023

5. It is seen that it is a matrimonial dispute between the parties.

WEB COPY

6. Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in *Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab* reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and *Lal Kamlendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others* reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and *Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others* reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origine of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioners is not a case of henious crime. Further the petitioners are having permanent residents at Madurai District. Hence the principles stated in *Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar* reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date



CRL OP(MD). No.15175 of 2023

WEB COPY

on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Sattur, Virudhunagar District on condition that the petitioners shall execute **own** bonds for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court as and when required for the purpose of interrogation.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD). No.15175 of 2023

WEB COPY

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under
Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
13/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/10/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

AAV
TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I
SATTUR, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTHUR.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, SATHUR,
VIRUTHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.M/S.SPICY LAW FIRM, Advocate (SR-15173[I] dated 13/10/2023)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.15175 of 2023
Date :13/10/2023

SS/JGB/18/10/2023/5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023