



HCP(MD)No.1573 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 07.07.2023

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.(MD)No.1573 of 2022

Vasantha

.. Petitioner /mother
of the detenu

Vs.

1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government
Home Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai-600 009.

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate
Thanjavur District. Thanjavur.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Tiruchirappalli District

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the records pertaining to the impugned detention order passed by the 2nd respondent made in his proceedings in P.D.No.110/2022 dated 28.08.2022 in detaining the detenu under Section 2(f) of the Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982 as a Goonda and quash the same and



HCP(MD)No.1573 of 2022

direct the respondents to produce the detenu namely Elani Mani @ Manikandan S/o.Manikandan, male, aged 35 years, who is detained at Central Prison, Trichy before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.M.Karunakaran

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.S.RAMESH, J.)

The petitioner is the detenu viz., Elani Mani @ Manikandan, aged about 35 years, S/o.Manikandan. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in detention order P.D.No.110/2022 dated 28.08.2022 holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.



HCP(MD)No.1573 of 2022

3. Among other grounds, the detention order is mainly attacked on the ground that there is a delay in passing the order of detention. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner strongly contended that though the detenu was arrested on 23.06.2022, the detention order was passed only on 28.08.2022 i.e., after a considerable delay of two months. Therefore, the detention order has to be set aside.

4. As seen from the grounds of detention, it is clear that though the detenu was arrested on 23.06.2022, the order of detention came to be passed only on 28.08.2022 and hence, there is an abnormal delay in passing the order of Detention, which caused prejudice to the interest of the detenu.

5. We have gone through the entire materials placed on record. There is no satisfactory explanation offered by the Detaining Authority for the delay in passing the order of detention. Hence the impugned order of detention is liable to be set aside.

6. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in P.D.No.110/2022 dated 28.08.2022 passed by the second



HCP(MD)No.1573 of 2022

respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Elani Mani @ Manikandan

S/o.Manikandan, aged about 35 years, is directed to be released forthwith

unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(M.S.R.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)
07.07.2023

NCC : Yes/No

Internet : Yes

RR

To

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government
Home Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate
Thanjavur District. Thanjavur.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Tiruchirappalli District
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.1573 of 2022

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.

RR

H.C.P.(MD)No.1573 of 2022

07.07.2023