



CRL OP(MD). No.14241 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 29/11/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.14241 of 2023

K.P.Selva

... Petitioner/ Accused(Rank not known)

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Thoothukudi
Thoothukudi District.
Crime No.23 of 2023..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Suresh Kannan C,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.Sureshkumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.23 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/accused No.13, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 406, 409, 420, 465, 468,



CRL OP(MD). No.14241 of 2023

471, 472 and 120(B) IPC in Crime No.23 of 2023, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that A2 to A7 had criminally conspired together, created a forged patta and other documents and obtained loan from the Bank. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner herein has created a forged document and obtained a loan from the Bank. He would further submit that the investigation in this case has already been completed and the charge sheet was filed before the Judicial Magistrate No.4, Tuticorin.

5. On perusal of the FIR, it is noticed that the petitioner herein has not obtained any loan from the defacto complainant's Bank or any other Bank and the matter involved in this case is that without proper legal opinion and valid documents the loan was obtained.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme



CRL OP(MD). No.14241 of 2023

Court in **Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab** reported in 1980 AIR

WEB COPY

1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others

reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and **Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others**

reported in (1994)4 SCC 260, this Court is of the considered view that the alleged

offence against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further, the petitioner

is having permanent resident at Thoothukudi District. . In this circumstances, the

principles stated in **Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar**

reported in **AIR 1979 SC 1360** is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble

Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to

be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after

taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not

likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7. In view of the above, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the

petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event

of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on

which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No. IV,

Thoothukudi, on condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of

Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police

or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned



CRL OP(MD). No.14241 of 2023

WEB COPY

Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on receipt of summons as directed by the trial Court.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**.

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
29/11/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/12/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TRP



CRL OP(MD). No.14241 of 2023

TO
WEB COPY

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.IV
THOOTHUKUDI.
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THOOTHUKUDI.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.C.SURESH KANNAN, Advocate (SR-17058[I] dated 29/11/2023)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.14241 of 2023
Date :29/11/2023
(2/3)

SS/JGB/SAR- /06/12/2023/5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023