



CRL OP(MD). No.13796 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 29/11/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.13796 of 2023

Meeran Sahib

... Petitioner/1st Accused

Vs

1. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station, Nanguneri,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No.11/2023)..

2. Nasrin Banu

... Respondents/Complainants

(R2 is Suo-Motu Impleaded as Per Order of the Court
Dated 28/07/2023 in CRL OP(MD).13796 and 13799 of
2023)

For Petitioner : M/s.Venkatesh.D,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mrs.M.Aasha,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For 2nd respondent : Mr.Sathya Chidambaram, Advocate

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.11/2023 on the file of the Respondent
Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the



CRL OP(MD). No.13796 of 2023

respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 294(b), 323, 498(A) and 506(i) IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act and Section 4 of DP Act in Crime No.11 of 2023, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to matrimonial dispute, the petitioner herein, who is the husband of the defacto complainant had demanded additional dowry from the defacto complainant and also harassed her both mentally and cruelly. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the Mediation is failed and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that it is a matrimonial dispute between the husband and wife and the investigation of the case is pending.

5. On perusal of the FIR, it is noticed that it is a case of matrimonial dispute.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in **Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab** reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and **Lal Kamlendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh** and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and **Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others**



CRL OP(MD). No.13796 of 2023

WEB COPY

reported in (1994)4 SCC 260, this Court is of the considered view that the alleged offence against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further, the petitioner is having permanent resident at Chennai and the origin of the crime is matrimonial dispute. In this circumstances, the principles stated in **Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar** reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7. In view of the above, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nanguneri on condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :



CRL OP(MD). No.13796 of 2023

WEB COPY

[a] the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on receipt of summons as directed by the trial Court.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**.

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
29/11/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/12/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TRP
TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NANGUNERI.



CRL OP(MD). No.13796 of 2023

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, NANGUNERI,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.D.VENKATESH, Advocate (SR-17075[I] dated 30/11/2023)

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.13796 of 2023

Date :29/11/2023

SS/JGB/SAR- /06/12/2023/5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023