



WP(MD)No.2304 of 2018

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 23.11.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

WP(MD)No.2304 of 2018
and W.M.P(MD).Nos.3455, 2511 and 2512 of 2018

S.Arumugam

.. Petitioner

vs.

- 1.The Regional Director of Municipal Administration,
O/o.The Regional Director of Municipal Administration,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli,
Tirunelveli District.
- 2.The Regional Executive Officer,
O/o.Regional Director of Municipal Administration,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli,
Tirunelveli District.
- 3.The Commissioner,
Ambasamudram Municipality,
Ambasamudram,
Tirunelveli District.
- 4.The Municipal Engineer,
The Ambasamudram Municipality Office,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli,
Tirunelveli District.



WP(MD)No.2304 of 2018

5.P.Muniyandi

.. Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records pertaining to the tender ID:2017-MAWS80630-1 in the third respondent Municipality as per the e-tender notice ROC.No.387/2017/E1 dated 06.12.2017 and the sanctioned work order issued by the third respondent in favour of the fifth respondent vide No.ROC.No.387/17/E1 dated 06.12.2017 and quash the same as illegal and void and thereby, directing the third respondent to make this petitioner as a qualified bidder under provisions of the Tamil Nadu Tender Transparency Act, 1998 and Rules.

For Petitioner : Mr.F.X.Eugene
For Respondents : Mr.P.T.Thiraviyam
Government Advocate
for R1, R2 and R4
Mr.M.Raja Rajan for R3

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed challenging the rejection of application made by the petitioner for tender work in the third respondent Municipality and the sanctioned work order issued by the third respondent vide ROC.No.387/17/E1 dated 06.12.2017 in favour of the fifth respondent, and for a consequential direction to the third respondent to make the petitioner as qualified bidder under the provisions of the Tamil Nadu Tender Transparency Act, 1998.



WP(MD)No.2304 of 2018

WEB COPY

2. The petitioner is a contractor and has participated in a tender floated by the third respondent Municipality. However, his application was rejected by the third respondent, as against which, the present Writ Petition has been filed.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the third respondent submits that pending this Writ Petition, Work Order was issued to the successful bidder and the work has also been executed.

4. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the third respondent, nothing survives for adjudication in this Writ Petition.

5. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs. Connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Index : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
ssb

23.11.2023
(1/3)



WP(MD)No.2304 of 2018

To

- 1.The Regional Director of Municipal Administration,
O/o.The Regional Director of Municipal Administration,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli,
Tirunelveli District.
- 2.The Regional Executive Officer,
O/o.Regional Director of Municipal Administration,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli,
Tirunelveli District.
- 3.The Commissioner,
Ambasamudram Municipality,
Ambasamudram,
Tirunelveli District.
- 4.The Municipal Engineer,
The Ambasamudram Municipality Office,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli,
Tirunelveli District.



WEB COPY



WP(MD)No.2304 of 2018

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

ssb

WP(MD)No.2304 of 2018

23.11.2023
(1/3)