



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Dated: 31/07/2023

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

Crl.OP(MD)No.13206 of 2023

1.Manimegalai
2.Ayyappan
3.Thangakumar
4.Sakkarapandi

: Petitioners/ A1 to A4

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Peraiyur Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.139 of 2023)

: Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Venkatasubramanian,
Advocate
For Respondent : Mr.S.Manikandan
Government Advocate
(Criminal side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER:-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.139 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER: The Court made the following order:-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as A1 to A4 apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 147, 294(b), 341 and



353 IPC, in Crime No.139 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant working as Sub Registrar, in charge of Peraiyur, Madurai South Registrar District. He lodged a complaint stating that on 13/07/2023 at about 01.00 pm, when he was doing his official duty, one document writer by name Manimegalai, her brother Ayyappan, her Driver Thangakumar and Typist Sakkarapani along with brokers trespassed into the office, prevented him from discharging his official duty, insulted by calling his caste name. They also prevented the public from entering into the office. In spite of repeated request, they did not stop their unruly behaviour. The above said occurrence was captured in CCTV camera. On the basis of the above said occurrence, a case in Crime No.139 of 2023 for the offences under sections 147, 294(b), 341 and 353 IPC, was registered.

3.Seeking anticipatory bail, this petition has been filed by the petitioners stating that no such occurrence took place as mentioned by the de-facto complainant.

4.Per contra, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that on the date of the above said occurrence, restrictions was imposed by the Government



WEB COPY

preventing the third parties or brokers or document writers, as the case may be, to enter into the Sub Registrar office. These petitioners alleged to have caused the above said trouble, preventing the de-facto complainant from discharging his official duty. According to him, the above said offence was captured in the CCTV footage.

5.From the perusal of the records and complaint made by the de-facto complainant, it is seen that these petitioners, who are working in various categories, such as document writers, typist, driver, etc. trespassed into the public office and prevented the de-facto complainant from discharging his official duty, agitating over the order passed by the Government. This is the serious issue, which cannot be taken lightly.

6.Considering the seriousness of the offence, this petitioners are not entitled for the discretionary relief of anticipatory bail.

7.In the result, this criminal original petition is **dismissed**.

sd/-

31/07/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/08/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



WEB COPY

ER

TO

- 1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PERAIYUR POLICE STATION,
MADURAI DISTRICT.
- 2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.13206 of 2023

Date :31/07/2023

SS/VRS/18/08/2023/4P/3C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023