



W.P.(MD)No.17139 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 17.07.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

W.P.(MD)No.17139 of 2023

T.Logesh

... Petitioner

versus

1. The Regional Passport Officer,
Regional Passport Office,
Claret Plaza Melakal Main Road,
Kochadai,
Madurai – 625 016.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Melur Police Station,
Madurai District.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India,
seeking for the issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the first
respondent to issue the passport to the petitioner by considering his
application No.MD1065053675323, dated 08.02.2023, within the time



W.P.(MD)No.17139 of 2023

stipulated by this Court.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Mahendran
For R1 : Mr.C.Nandhagopal
Central Government Stand Counsel
For R2 : Mr.P.T.Thiraviam,
Government Advocate

ORDER

The petitioner has applied for a passport before the first respondent vide application No.MD1065053675323, dated 08.02.2023. But, the first respondent has not considered the petitioner's application on the ground that he is involved in a criminal case in Crime No.557 of 2017 for the offence under Sections 294(b), 323, 506(1) IPC r/w. Section 4 of TNPHW Act. Hence, the petitioner has filed this writ petition.

2. The learned Central Government Standing Counsel appearing for the first respondent submits that the petitioner is involved in a



W.P.(MD)No.17139 of 2023

criminal case in Crime No.557 of 2017 that has been ascertained during the police verification. Further, the petitioner has failed to mention about the pendency of criminal case against him while filing his application.

3. In reply to the same, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not aware of mentioning about the criminal case pending against him at the time of filing his application and the case in Crime No.557 of 2017 is only at the stage of FIR. He further submits that the pendency of a criminal case at the FIR stage is not a bar for issuance of a passport.

4. This Court considered the rival submissions.

5. The petitioner has applied for a passport before the first respondent vide his application dated 08.02.2023 and the same was not considered on the ground that he is involved in a case in Crime No.557



W.P.(MD)No.17139 of 2023

of 2017 on the file of the second respondent.

WEB COPY

6. Pendency of an FIR, by itself, cannot be a ground to refuse the passport. The Passport Authority can refuse the passport and any travel documents under Section 6 of the Passport Act, 1967. Section 6(2)(F) of the Act would be relevant and the same is extracted as under:-

“6. Refusal of passports, travel documents, etc-

...

(2) Subject to the other provisions of this Act, the passport authority shall refuse to issue a passport or travel document for visiting any foreign country under clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 5 on any one or more of the following grounds, and on no other ground, namely -

...

(f) that proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant are pending before a Criminal Court in India.”

7. The above provision enables the Passport Authority to refuse the passport or travel document to an applicant on the ground that



W.P.(MD)No.17139 of 2023

proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant is pending before a Criminal Court. Registration of an FIR on the police files, based on a complaint, cannot be construed as a proceedings pending before the Criminal Court.

8. The role of the Court pending the investigation has been discussed by various Courts. In ***W.Jaihar William v. State of Tamil Nadu*** [2014 (2) CWC 684], this Court has held as follows:-

“8. ... It is well settled legal principle that mere pendency of FIR cannot be construed as pendency of criminal proceedings in respect of the offences alleged to have been committed by the applicant before the Criminal Court. Only after the Court takes cognizance of the offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant, as stipulated under Section 190 of Cr.PC., it can be construed as 'proceedings pending before the Court'”

9. A Division Bench of this Court in ***Arumugam v. Regional Passport Officer, Madurai*** [W.A(MD)No.301 of 2018, dated



W.P.(MD)No.17139 of 2023

27.03.2018], has held as follows:-

WEB COPY

“8. ... mere pendency of the criminal proceedings cannot be construed as pendency of the criminal proceedings. The decisions relied on for reaching the said conclusion in the above cited decision, would also disclose that unless cognizance is taken by the concerned Magistrate, it does not amount to pendency of the criminal proceedings. Admittedly, in the case on hand, the case is in FIR stage and even for the sake of arguments, subsequently, the charge sheet has been filed, as on the date of submission of the application for passport, only FIR is pending and it cannot be construed as pendency of a criminal case and it cannot be said that the petitioner has suppressed the material fact of pendency of the criminal case.”

10. In fact, the Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India, has issued a Circular dated 10.10.2019, wherein, the following instruction was issued:-

“5. In view of the above, the following instructions may be adopted while processing the passport applications in respect of those applicants who may have criminal



W.P.(MD)No.17139 of 2023

proceedings pending before a criminal court in India:

WEB COPY

...

(vi) ... It may be noted that mere filing of FIRs and cases under investigation do not come under the purview of Section 6(2)(f) and that criminal proceedings would only be considered pending against an applicant if a case has been registered before any Court of law and the court has taken cognizance of the same.”

Therefore, the Passport Authority is not justified in not deciding the application filed by the petitioner for passport by referring the criminal case.

11. Ordinarily, the duration of a passport as per Rule 12 of the Passport Rules is for a period of 10 years. In the event if the applicant is facing any criminal proceedings, the passport may be issued by restricting the period.

12. Accordingly, this writ petition is allowed with a direction to the Passport Authority to issue passport to this petitioner, by



W.P.(MD)No.17139 of 2023

considering his application, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

17.07.2023

ogy

NCC : Yes / No.

Index : Yes / No.

Internet : Yes / No.

To

1. The Regional Passport Officer,
Regional Passport Office,
Claret Plaza Melakal Main Road,
Kochadai,
Madurai – 625 016.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Melur Police Station,
Madurai District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.17139 of 2023

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

ogy

W.P.(MD)No.17139 of 2023

17.07.2023