



W.P.(MD)No.17232 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 18.07.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

W.P.(MD)No.17232 of 2023

Mahadevan

... Petitioner

versus

1. The Regional Passport Officer,
Madurai, Bharathi Ula Veethi
Race Course Road,
Madurai – 625 002.
Tamilnadu.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Sivagiri Police Station,
Tenkasi District.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking for the issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the first respondent to issue passport to the petitioner by considering his passport application in File No.MD1075376248723 dated 18.05.2023 within the time frame fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Suyambulingabharathi
For R1 : Mr.Rajendiran,
Central Government Stand Counsel



W.P.(MD)No.17232 of 2023

For R2 : Ms.D.Farjana Ghoushia,
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

The petitioner has applied for a passport before the first respondent vide application No.MD1075376248723 dated 18.05.2023. But, the first respondent has not considered the petitioner's application on the ground that he is involved in a criminal case in Crime No.160 of 2022 for the offence under Section 12 of the TN Gaming Act, 1930. Hence, the petitioner has filed this writ petition.

2. The learned Central Government Standing Counsel appearing for the first respondent submits that the petitioner has suppressed the criminal case pending against him and therefore, he is not entitled for the passport.

3. In reply to the same, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, at the time of submitting his application, was not aware of the pendency of the criminal case and



W.P.(MD)No.17232 of 2023

therefore, he has not mentioned the same. He further submits that the case in Crime No.160 of 2022 is only at the stage of FIR and the pendency of a criminal case at the FIR stage is not a bar for issuance of a passport.

4. This Court considered the rival submissions.

5. The petitioner has applied for a passport before the first respondent vide his application dated 18.05.2023 and the same was not considered on the ground that he is involved in a case in Crime No.160 of 2022 on the file of the second respondent.

6. Pendency of an FIR, by itself, cannot be a ground to refuse the passport. The Passport Authority can refuse the passport and any travel documents under Section 6 of the Passport Act, 1967. Section 6(2)(F) of the Act would be relevant and the same is extracted as under:-

“6.Refusal of passports, travel documents, etc-



W.P.(MD)No.17232 of 2023

WEB COPY

...
(2) Subject to the other provisions of this Act, the passport authority shall refuse to issue a passport or travel document for visiting any foreign country under clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 5 on any one or more of the following grounds, and on no other ground, namely -

...
(f) that proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant are pending before a Criminal Court in India.”

7. The above provision enables the Passport Authority to refuse the passport or travel document to an applicant on the ground that proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant is pending before a Criminal Court. Registration of an FIR on the police files, based on a complaint, cannot be construed as a proceedings pending before the Criminal Court.

8. The role of the Court pending the investigation has been



W.P.(MD)No.17232 of 2023

discussed by various Courts. In ***W.Jaihar William v. State of Tamil Nadu*** [2014 (2) CWC 684], this Court has held as follows:-

“8. ... It is well settled legal principle that mere pendency of FIR cannot be construed as pendency of criminal proceedings in respect of the offences alleged to have been committed by the applicant before the Criminal Court. Only after the Court takes cognizance of the offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant, as stipulated under Section 190 of Cr.PC., it can be construed as 'proceedings pending before the Court'”

9. A Division Bench of this Court in ***Arumugam v. Regional Passport Officer, Madurai*** [W.A(MD)No.301 of 2018, dated **27.03.2018**], has held as follows:-

“8. ... mere pendency of the criminal proceedings cannot be construed as pendency of the criminal proceedings. The decisions relied on for reaching the said conclusion in the above cited decision, would also disclose that unless cognizance is taken by the concerned Magistrate, it does not amount to pendency of the criminal proceedings. Admittedly, in the case on hand, the case is in FIR stage and even for the



W.P.(MD)No.17232 of 2023

WEB COPY

sake of arguments, subsequently, the charge sheet has been filed, as on the date of submission of the application for passport, only FIR is pending and it cannot be construed as pendency of a criminal case and it cannot be said that the petitioner has suppressed the material fact of pendency of the criminal case.”

10. In fact, the Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India, has issued a Circular dated 10.10.2019, wherein, the following instruction was issued:-

“5. In view of the above, the following instructions may be adopted while processing the passport applications in respect of those applicants who may have criminal proceedings pending before a criminal court in India:

...

(vi) ... It may be noted that mere filing of FIRs and cases under investigation do not come under the purview of Section 6(2)(f) and that criminal proceedings would only be considered pending against an applicant if a case has been registered before any Court of law and the court has taken cognizance of the same.”



W.P.(MD)No.17232 of 2023

Therefore, the Passport Authority is not justified in not deciding the application filed by the petitioner for passport by referring the criminal case.

11. Ordinarily, the duration of a passport as per Rule 12 of the Passport Rules is for a period of 10 years. In the event if the applicant is facing any criminal proceedings, the passport may be issued by restricting the period.

12. Accordingly, this writ petition is allowed with a direction to the Passport Authority to issue passport to this petitioner, by considering his application, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

18.07.2023

ogy
NCC : Yes / No.
Index : Yes / No.
Internet : Yes / No.



W.P.(MD)No.17232 of 2023

To
WEB COPY

1. The Regional Passport Officer,
Madurai, Bharathi Ula Veethi
Race Course Road,
Madurai – 625 002.
Tamilnadu.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Sivagiri Police Station,
Tenkasi District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.17232 of 2023

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

ogy

W.P.(MD)No.17232 of 2023

18.07.2023