



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.14131 of 2023

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 09.08.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE D.NAGARJUN

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.14131 of 2023

and

Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.11076 and 11077 of 2023

1.Vijayaraj

2.Geetha Ranjani

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.The Inspector of Police,
Sattur Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Cr.No.380 of 2014)

2.Kamaraj

..Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned order in P.R.C.No.23 of 2023 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.II Court, Sattur, Virudhunagar District and to quash the same as far as the petitioners are concerned.

For Petitioners

For R1

:Mr.S.Ramsundarvijayaraj

:Mr.S.S.Madhavan

Government Advocate (Crl.side)



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.14131 of 2023

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashment of P.R.C.No.23 of 2023 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.II Court, Sattur, Virudhunagar District pending against the petitioner for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 295, 447, 506(i) IPC r/w Section 4 of TNPPDL Act.

2.The petitioners are the accused Nos.1 and 2 in PRC.No.23 of 2023 pending on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sattur, Virudhunagar District, which was registered on a complaint given by the respondent No.2 for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 295, 297, 447 and 506(i) IPC and Section 4 of TNPPDL Act.

3.It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the property, which allegedly stated to have been damaged by the petitioner, was purchased by the petitioners from the wife of the defacto complainant and thereby, the offence under TNPPDL Act is not attracted.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.14131 of 2023

WEB COPY

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) has submitted that as per the investigation done by the police, there are clear overt acts against all the accused in respect of the offences alleged, thereby the trial requires to be conducted.

5.On going through the charge sheet including the statements under Section 161 Cr.P.C., it is clear that there are overt acts against the petitioners. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has laid down the guidelines for exercising of inherent power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. for quashing the criminal proceeding in the case of *State of Haryana v. Bhajanlal* reported in *1992 SCC (Cri) 426*, which reads as follows:-

"**102.** In the backdrop of the interpretation of the various relevant provisions of the Code under Chapter XIV and of the principles of law enunciated by this Court in a series of decisions relating to the exercise of the extraordinary power under Article 226 or the inherent powers under Section 482 of the Code which we have extracted and reproduced above, we give the following categories of cases by way of illustration wherein such power could be exercised either to prevent abuse of the process of any court or otherwise to secure the ends of justice, though it may not be possible to lay down any precise, clearly defined and sufficiently channelised and inflexible guidelines or rigid formulae and



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.14131 of 2023

WEB COPY to give an exhaustive list of myriad kinds of cases wherein such power should be exercised.

(1) Where the allegations made in the first information report or the complaint, even if they are taken at their face value and accepted in their entirety do not prima facie constitute any offence or make out a case against the accused.

(2) Where the allegations in the first information report and other materials, if any, accompanying the FIR do not disclose a cognizable offence, justifying an investigation by police officers under Section 156(1) of the Code except under an order of a Magistrate within the purview of Section 155(2) of the Code.

(3) Where the uncontroverted allegations made in the FIR or complaint and the evidence collected in support of the same do not disclose the commission of any offence and make out a case against the accused.

(4) Where, the allegations in the FIR do not constitute a cognizable offence but constitute only a non-cognizable offence, no investigation is permitted by a police officer without an order of a Magistrate as contemplated under Section 155(2) of the Code.

(5) Where the allegations made in the FIR or complaint are so absurd and inherently improbable on the basis of which no prudent person can ever reach a just conclusion that there is sufficient ground for proceeding against the accused.

(6) Where there is an express legal bar engrafted in any of the provisions of the Code or the concerned Act (under which a criminal proceeding is instituted) to the institution and continuance of the



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.14131 of 2023

WEB COPY

proceedings and/or where there is a specific provision in the Code or the concerned Act, providing efficacious redress for the grievance of the aggrieved party.

(7) Where a criminal proceeding is manifestly attended with mala fide and/or where the proceeding is maliciously instituted with an ulterior motive for wreaking vengeance on the accused and with a view to spite him due to private and personal grudge."

6.It is to be examined as to whether the facts of the case will fall in any one of the circumstances as held by the Hon'ble Apex Court to consider whether the case against the petitioners can be quashed.

7.It is not a case, where the petitioners are seeking quashment of the charge sheet on the ground that there is a statutory embargo in continuation of the proceedings. On going through the charge sheet, it is clear that the allegations levelled against the petitioners are not vague and that the statements of the witnesses are clearly against the petitioners. It is submitted further that the witnesses examined by the prosecution have given the false statements under 161(3) Cr.P.C.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.14131 of 2023

WEB COPY

8. On going through the contention of the petitioners and on considering the defense put forth by the petitioners, it cannot be decided at this stage as to which one of the versions is correct. Unless, the trial is conducted, the truth or otherwise of the statement of the witnesses cannot be appreciated. Therefore, it is a fit case, where the case has to be referred to the trial Court as there is *prima facie* material against the petitioners.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of directing the trial Court to dispose of P.R.C.No.23 of 2023 as quickly as possible. Since the petitioners are the senior citizens, their personal appearance before the trial Court is dispensed with. However, the trial Court is at liberty to direct the accused to be present for answering the charges, 313 examination and for any such purposes at any stage of the case for smooth progression of the trial. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

Speaking : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No

09.08.2023

mm

6/8



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.14131 of 2023

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sattur, Virudhunagar District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Sattur Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.14131 of 2023

DR.D.NAGARJUN. J.

mm

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14131 of 2023

09.08.2023