



HCP(MD)No.1295 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 03.07.2023

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.(MD)No.1295 of 2022

Edison Chakkaravarthy

.. Petitioner / detenu

Vs.

1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government
State of Tamil Nadu
Home Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.

2.The District Magistrate and District Collector
Office of the District Magistrate and District Collector
Dindigul District, Dindigul

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Madurai Central Prison
Madurai District.

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the entire records, connected with the detention order of the respondent No.2 in Detention order No.59/2022 dated 10.07.2022 and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the body or person of the detenu by name Edison Chakkaravarthy, son of Selvaraj, aged about 21 years, now detained as Goonda at Madurai Central Prison before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.1295 of 2022

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Alagumani
For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor


ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.S.RAMESH, J.)

The petitioner is the detenu viz., Edison Chakkaravarthy aged about 21 years, S/o.Selvaraj. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in Detention Order No.59/2022 dated 10.07.2022 holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly



5. On carefully going through the detention order, the detaining authority was aware of the fact that no bail application was filed by the detenu. However, the detaining authority took into consideration the order passed in CrI.M.P.No.971/2020 and came to the conclusion that there is a likelihood of the detenu coming out on bail. Perusal of the order shows that the accused therein had already suffered 73 days incarceration and substantial part of investigation is over. However, in the present case, the



HCP(MD)No.1295 of 2022

FIR was registered for offences under Sections 341, 302 and 506(ii) IPC and hence, the bail order that was relied upon by the detaining authority cannot be considered to be a similar one.

6. In view of the above, the detention order suffers from non application of mind and the same is liable to be interfered with by this Court. The impugned detention order is, therefore, liable to be quashed.

7. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in Detention order No.59/2022 dated 10.07.2022 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Esison Chakkaravarthy S/o.Selvaraj, aged about 21 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(M.S.R.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)
03.07.2023

Internet : Yes
RR



HCP(MD)No.1295 of 2022

To

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government
State of Tamil Nadu
Home Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The District Magistrate and District Collector
Office of the District Magistrate and District Collector
Dindigul District, Dindigul
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Madurai Central Prison
Madurai District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.1295 of 2022

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.

RR

H.C.P.(MD)No.1295 of 2022

03.07.2023