



CRL MP(MD) No.9609 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Nineteenth day of July Two Thousand and Twenty Three
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.K. RAMAKRISHNAN
CRL MP(MD) No.9609 of 2023
in
CRL A(MD) No.14 of 2023

VIJAI

... PETITIONER/ APPELLANT

Vs

State Rep.by
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
RAJAPALAYAM,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.6 OF 2018).

... RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition filed praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the petition filed therewith the High Court may be pleased to suspend the sentence imposed by the Learned Special Court for POCSO Act Cases, Virudhunagar District at Srivilliputhur in Special Sessions Case No.44 of 2018 dated 04.11.2022 and enlarge the petitioner/ accused No.2 on bail, till the disposal of the Criminal Appeal.

Prayer in CRL A(MD).14/2023 :

To admit this appeal on the file and call for the records from the Lower Court and set aside the judgment passed by the Learned Special Court for POCSO Act Cases, Virudhunagar District at Srivilliputhur in Special Sessions Case No.44 of 2018 dated 04.11.2022 by allowing this appeal.

Order : This criminal miscellaneous petition coming up for orders on this day, upon perusing the petition filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S.MANIKANDAN.K, Advocate for the petitioner and of MR.M.MUTHUMANIKKAM, Government Advocate (Crl.Side) on behalf of the Respondent, the court made the following order:-

The petitioner is the A2 is Spl.S.C.No.44 of 2018 filed this Suspension Petition.



CRL MP(MD) No.9609 of 2023

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the trial Court failed to note the contradictions between FIR and 164 CR.P.C., statement given by PW.1 and PW.2 evidence regarding the manner of occurrence. The said contention already considered by this Court earlier in dismissing CrI.M.P(MD). No.232 of 2023 in CrI.A(MD).No.14 of 2023, which reads as follows:

“2. On 28/02/2018 at about 8.30 am, A1 kidnaped the victim in front of her school in his bike to Vettai Perumal Kovil, Rajapalayam, where she was sexually assaulted and also threatened her. The above said incident was reported by the victim to her mother. On further enquiry by her mother, she has stated that six months prior to the occurrence, she was subjected to sexual assault by the petitioner/ A2. On the basis of the above said occurrence, the case was registered in Crime No.6 of 2018.

3. After completing the investigation, final report was filed against the accused persons for the offences punishable under sections 363, 506 (1), 109 IPC and sections 5(u)(1)(5(i) and 6 of the POCSO Act and it was taken on file in Special Sessions No.44 of 2018 by the trial court.

4.To prove the guilt of the accused, on the side of the prosecution, 13 witnesses have been examined and 22 documents marked, apart from that 7 material objects marked. On the side of the accused, 1 witness was



CRL MP(MD) No.9609 of 2023

examined and 1 document was exhibited.

5.At the conclusion of the trial, the trial court found the petitioner/A2 guilty for the offences under sections 363, 506(i) IPC, section 5(l) r/w 6 of POCSO Act, 2012 and sentenced him to undergo one year RI and imposed a fine of Rs.1,000/- with default clause for the offence under section 363 IPC; and to undergo 6 months RI and imposed a fine of Rs.1,000/- for the offence under section 506(i) IPC; and sentenced to undergo 10 years RI and imposed a fine of Rs.2,000/- with default clause for the offence under section 5(l) r/w 6 of POCSO Act, 2012 and ordered to run the sentences concurrently. Against which, the criminal appeal has been preferred before this court. Pending appeal seeking suspense of sentence, this petition has been preferred by the petitioner.

6.Heard both sides.

7.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/A2 would submit that the occurrence said to have taken place in 2017-2018 and no specific allegation has been made against this petitioner in 2017 occurrence. It was a love affair between them and if at all, section 109 IPC only attracted against this petitioner and the time and date of the occurrence are differently stated; and during the course of recording 164



CRL MP(MD) No.9609 of 2023

Crl.P.C statement, no allegation has been made out.

WEB COPY

8.Per contra, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that there is a clear role that has been played by the petitioner and the medical evidence is also supported the case of the prosecution.

9.Now let us go to the evidence of the victim girl straightaway. She has stated that this petitioner continuously troubling her demanding money and love and she was taken to a nearby area, where she was subjected to penetrative sexual assault. Later, A1 also joined in the above said act. He also subjected her to penetrative sexual assault.

10.Reading of the victim statement shows that there was some sort of affair between herself and this petitioner, but the age of the victim is about only 14 years. So the contention on the part of the petitioner that there was love affairs between them, cannot be taken into account.

11.It appears that taking advantage of the immaturity of the child, she was subjected to continuous sexual assault by both the accused. The Medical Officer has also given a statement that injury was found on the private part of the victim and she was also found bleeding at the of examination.

12.So considering the above said offence, I am of the considered



CRL MP(MD) No.9609 of 2023

WEB COPY

view that this is not a fittest case to suspend the sentence. All the matters can be taken into account at the time of hearing the main appeal.

13.In the result, this criminal miscellaneous petition is dismissed.”

3. Hence, this Court does not find any new grounds to allow this petition and accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

sd/-
19/07/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/08/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

trp
TO

- 1 THE JUDGE, SPECIAL COURT FOR POCSO ACT CASES,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTHUR.
- 2 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
RAJAPALAYAM, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
- 3 THE SUPERINTEDENT, CENTRAL PRISON, MADURAI.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER IN
CRL MP(MD) No.9609 of 2023
in CRL A(MD) No.14 of 2023
Date :19/07/2023

RS/VR/SAR-(18.08.2023) 5P 5C
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing
certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023