



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)
Date : 30/06/2023
PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.11843 of 2023

Ashok Kumar,

... Petitioner/1st Accused

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Thadikombu Police Station,
Dindigul District.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.SURESH M,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.M.ANBUNITHI,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.439 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :- To enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with the SC.No.276 of 2018 on the file of the learned Additional District and Sessions Court at Dindigul

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/A1 herein was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 08.04.2023 for the alleged offence under Sections 120(B), 302 r/w.34 of IPC in Crime No.102 of 2013(S.C.No.276 of 2018 on the file of the Additional District Court, Dindigul) on the file of the respondent police seeks bail.

2. Earlier the petitioner was arrested and released on bail. Thereafter the petitioner did not appear before the trial Court , hence Non Bailable Warrant was issued on 22.02.2023. The petitioner was arrested on execution of Non Bailable Warrant on 08.04.2023.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is regularly appearing before the trial Court on all hearing dates and due to his ill health he was unable to appear before the trial Court, due to which Non Bailable Warrant came to be issued and the petitioner was remanded to custody pursuant to the execution of Non Bailable Warrant on 08.04.2023



4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposing the petition would submit that the petitioner is absconding and with much difficulty the respondent police secured the petitioner. Further, if the petitioner is released on bail, he may abscond again.

5. Taking into consideration all the allegations made against the petitioner and also the fact that the petitioner was arrested on execution of Non bailable Warrant and also taking into consideration the period of incarceration, this Court inclined to grant bail to the petitioner by imposing conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Additional District and Sessions Court, Dindigul

i) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate/concerned court may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity

ii) the petitioner shall report before the learned Additional District and Sessions Court, Dindigul on all working days at 10.30 a.m and 5.30 p.m., until further orders

iii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness.

iv) the petitioner shall not abscond during trial.

v) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

vi) If the petitioner/ accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-

30/06/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

30/06/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



AAV
TO

1 THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE
DINDIGUL.

2 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THADIKOMBU POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

3 THE OFFICER INCHARGE,
DISTRICT PRISON, DINDIGUL.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

**ORDER
IN**

CRL OP(MD) No.11843 of 2023
Date :30/06/2023

SA/VR/SAR. /30.06.2023/3P/5C