



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11688 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 30.06.2023

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11688 of 2023

1. V.Maruthu @ Maruthupandi
2. S.Santhuru
- 3.N.Jayapal
4. R.Jeeva @ Jeevanantham
5. S.Ravi @ Raviraj
6. K.Ranjith
- 7.R.Sri @ Jayavigneswar
- 8.E.Selvam @ Tamilselvam
9. M.Nagaraj
10. T.Navaraj

..... Petitioners / 1 to 10 Accused

Vs.

1. State through,
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Kallikudi Police Station,
Cr.No.107/2023,
(U/S 147, 148, 294(b)
323, 324 &506 (2) IPC)

..... Respondent/Complainant



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11688 of 2023

2. Jeyanthi

..... Respondent/ De-facto Complainant

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to Dispense with production certified copy of the FIR in Cr.No.107/2023 on the file of Kallikudi Police Station and call for the records in CR.No.107/2023 on the file of Kallikudi Police Station and quash the same

For Petitioner : Ms.J.Gunaseelanmuthiah

For R1 : Mr. B. Nambiselvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

For R2 : M/s. M.Bhuvaneswari

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No.107 of 2023 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.107 of 2023 for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(2) IPC, against the petitioners.

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis3>.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11688 of 2023

issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioners and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) and the Investigation Officer. When the matter was called on 28.06.2023, the other injured namely Vishva perumal was not present before this Court. Today, he is present before this Court. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 27.06.2023, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending and inclined to quash all further proceedings in Crime No.107 of 2023 pending on the file of the 1st respondent police in respect of this petitioners.

6. In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11688 of 2023

entire proceedings in Crime No.107 of 2023, pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners. The compromise memo is recorded and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

30.06.2023

NCC : Yes / No

Index : Yes/No

Internet: Yes/No

pnn

To

1. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Kallikudi Police Station.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11688 of 2023

G.ILANGO VAN, J.,

pnn

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.11688 of 2023

30.06.2023