



1

CONT.P.(MD)NO.1139 OF 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 07.09.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

CONT.P.(MD)No.1139 of 2023 IN
W.P.(MD)No.18520 of 2021

Manikavasagam,
S/o.Late R.Perumal,
Revenue Inspector(Special),
K.Abishekapuram Zone,
Trichy City Municipal Corporation,
Trichy. ... Petitioner / Petitioner

Vs.

Dr.R.Vaithinathan,I.A.S.,
Commissioner and Special Officer,
Tiruchirappalli City Corporation,
Tiruchirappalli. ... Contemnor / 3rd Respondent

Prayer: Contempt petition filed under under Section 11 of the Contempt of Court Act 1971,to punish the contemnor / 3rd respondent herein for willful disobedience of the order dated 29.11.2022 passed in W.P.(MD)No.18520 of 2021.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.R.Sreenivasan

For Respondent : Mr.R.Baskaran,
Senior Counsel,
for M/s.R.B.Associates.

* * *

**ORDER**

WEB COPY

Contending that the order passed by this Court has been breached, this contempt petition came to be filed.

2. The petitioner seeks promotion to the post of Assistant Revenue Officer. The petitioner's request was negatived vide order dated 23.04.2021. The said order was set aside by me. However, I had not given any direction for straightaway promoting the petitioner. The writ petition filed by the petitioner was allowed by me in the following terms:-

“ 6. The aforesaid G.O has not been taken note of by the respondents. The order impugned in this writ petition is set aside. The matter is remitted to the file of the third respondent to pass orders afresh in accordance with law. The third respondent will take note of the order dated 03.09.2012 made in W.P.(MD)No.11555 of 2008 and the G.O (Ms) No.211 Municipal Administration and Water Supply Department dated 21.09.1999. Such an order will be passed



within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. The writ petition is allowed accordingly. No costs. Connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.”

3. Pursuant to the direction, the respondents have gone into the issue and once again negated the petitioner's request. The petitioner has to necessarily question the rejection order in the manner known to law. With this liberty to the petitioner, this contempt petition is closed.

07.09.2023

NCS : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No

PMU

To:

The Commissioner and Special Officer,
Tiruchirappalli City Corporation,
Tiruchirappalli.



WEB COPY



4

CONT.P.(MD)NO.1139 OF 2023

G.R.SWAMINATHAN,J.

PMU

CONT.P.(MD)No.1139 of 2023 IN
W.P.(MD)No.18520 of 2021

07.09.2023