



W.P(MD)No.13955 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 14.06.2023

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

W.P(MD)No.13955 of 2023

Thangapandi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Peraiyur Police Station,
Madurai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records entire records relating to the impugned rejection order issued by the 2nd respondent in Na.Kaa.No.10/Peraiyur Kaa.Ni./2021, dated 11.06.2023 and quash the same and consequently, directing the 2nd respondent to grant permission to conduct Adal Padal cultural event scheduled to be held on 14.06.2023 to 16.06.2023 at A/m. Chellaiamman Temple, Keelapatti, Peraiyur Taluk, Madurai District.

For Petitioner : Mr. P. Vishnu Varthan

For Respondents : Mr. R. Suresh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



W.P(MD)No.13955 of 2023

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Writ Petition has been filed to call for the records entire records relating to the impugned rejection order issued by the 2nd respondent in Na.Kaa.No.10/Peraiyur Kaa.Ni./2021, dated 11.06.2023 and quash the same and consequently, directing the 2nd respondent to grant permission to conduct Adal Padal cultural event scheduled to be held on 14.06.2023 to 16.06.2023 at A/m. Chellaiamman Temple, Keelapatti, Peraiyur Taluk, Madurai District.

2. Mr.R.Suresh Kumar, learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) takes notice for the respondents.

3.Heard both sides.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner submitted that festival for the temple, by name, “A/m. Chellaiamman Temple, Keelapatti, Peraiyur Taluk, Madurai District, was scheduled on 14.06.2023 to 16.06.2023. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme on 14.06.2023 to 16.06.2023, they sought permission



W.P.(MD)No.13955 of 2023

of the police by giving a representation. But, the second respondent has considered and rejected the said representation. Challenging the same, the writ petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

5. Perusal of impugned order shows that citing some law and order issue, the representation that was made by the petitioner has been rejected.

6. Considering the fact that there is no specific reason for rejecting the above said request, the petitioner is hereby directed to give fresh representation to the respondent concerned, with an undertaking affidavit ensuring that no law and order problem is created, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Based upon the above said undertaking affidavit, the representation shall be disposed of imposing stringent conditions immediately following the order following the order of the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court passed in the case of ***C.Sathasivam Vs. The Superintendent of Police, Trichy District and another***, in ***W.P.(MD).No.12535 of 2023, dated 24.05.2023*** and in the light of the circular of the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019 dated



W.P(MD)No.13955 of 2023

09.04.2019.

WEB COPY

8. With the above said directions, this writ petition stands disposed of and the rejection order that has been passed by the second respondent dated 11.06.2023 vide his proceeding in Na.Kaa.No.10/Peraiyur Kaa.Ni./2021, is hereby set aside.

14.06.2023

NCC :Yes/No
Index :Yes/No
Internet : Yes/ No
trp



W.P(MD)No.13955 of 2023

To

WEB COPY

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Peraiyur Police Station,
Madurai District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.13955 of 2023

G.ILANGOVAN,J

trp

W.P(MD)No.13955 of 2023

Dated : 14.06.2023