



Crl.O.P(MD)No.10640 of 2023

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 14.07.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE D.NAGARJUN

Crl.O.P(MD)No.10640 of 2023

G.Murugapandi

... Petitioner

vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District, Virudhunagar.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar Sub Division, Virudhunagar.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Virudhunagar Rural Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.

4.Ananthi

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure to direct the respondents not to harass the petitioner in the name of enquiry, by considering the representation of the petitioner dated 03.06.2023.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Solaisamy

For R1 to R3 : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R4 : Mr.A.Prasanna Rajadurai



Crl.O.P(MD)No.10640 of 2023

WEB COPY

ORDER

This petition is filed seeking for a direction to the respondents Police not to harass the petitioner in the name of enquiry basing on the representation dated 03.06.2023 given by the 3rd respondent.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner's father died after prolonged illness, for which, the petitioner and his family had spent about seven lakhs. The 4th respondent who is no way concerned with the petitioner's family has filed a complaint before the Police, basing on which, the petitioner is being harassed by the Police.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the 4th respondent submits that the petitioner is aware of the fact that the 4th respondent is the second wife of the petitioner's late father one Ganesan. The marriage of late Ganesan and the 4th respondent took place with the knowledge of everybody including the petitioner and his family members. The said Ganesan is alleged to have lived with the 4th respondent for 15 years and during his life time, when he was sick, the 4th respondent is stated to have given some jewels to the petitioner and the same was



Crl.O.P(MD)No.10640 of 2023

mortgaged by him allegedly for the treatment of his father. When the fourth respondent has requested the petitioner to redeem the same from the mortgage and return back, the petitioner has taken a plea that she is a stranger.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submits that the representation given by the 4th respondent dated 03.06.2023 was enquired into and was ultimately disposed of on 30.06.2023.

5. Since the enquiry has been completed, there is no question of harassment by the respondent Police. Hence, no further orders required to be passed in this case. Therefore, this criminal original petition is dismissed.

14.07.2023

Speaking/Non-speaking order

NCC : Yes / No

Index : Yes / No

mbi



Crl.O.P(MD)No.10640 of 2023

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar Sub Division,
Virudhunagar district.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Virudhunagar Rural Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.10640 of 2023

DR.D.NAGARJUN, J.

mbi

Crl.O.P(MD)No.10640 of 2023

14.07.2023