



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10373 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: **14.06.2023**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.ILANGO VAN**

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.10373 of 2023

1. Alagar @ Alagan
2. Sevugan
3. Arunachalam @ Malaipandi
4. Ajith @ Ajithkumar
5. Ganesan
6. Savaranan @ Saravanakumar
7. Vasanthakumar
8. Ponnaiah
9. Kundu @ Alagar
10. Manikandan
11. Banumathi
12. Karuppayee
13. Ramu
14. Chandra
15. Pandiselvi



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10373 of 2023

16. Veeramuthu
16

... petitionerss /Accused Nos.1 to

WEB COPY

Vs.

1.The Inspector of Police,
Melur Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.362 of 2016).

...1st Respondent/Complainant

2. Subash @ Subashpandi ... 2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to call for the records pertaining to the proceedings in Crime No.362 of 2016 on the file of Inspector of Police, Melur Police Station, Madurai District and quash the same as against the petitionerss.

For petitioners	: Mr.Viswanathan.B
For R1	: Mr.B.Nambiselvan Additional Public Prosecutor
For R2	: Mr.M.Jegadeesh Pandian

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No.362 of 2016 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.362 of 2016 for the offences punishable



under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) and 307 of Indian Penal Code against the petitioners.

3. It is the case and counter case. Reading of the First Information Report shows that there was a group clash between two groups of people on the eve of temple festival and there is no damage caused in the above said occurrence.

4.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

5. The petitioners and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10373 of 2023

6.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 12.06.2023, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, all further proceedings in Crime No.362 of 2016 pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is quashed and the compromise memo is recorded.

7.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.362 of 2016, pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

14.06.2023

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
Indu

To



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.10373 of 2023

WEB COPY

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Melur Police Station,
Madurai District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

G.ILANGOVAN. J.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10373 of 2023

Indu

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.10373 of 2023

14.06.2023