



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9964 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 26.06.2023

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9964 of 2023

- 1.Vikram
- 2.Saran @ Saravana Bava
- 3.Vinoth
- 4.Gowtham
- 5.Sudharsan
- 6.Prashanth
- 7.Logeshwaran
- 8.Suthishkumar
- 9.Kumaresan
- 10.Balu @ Balamurugan
- 11.Praveen
- 12.Manimaran
- 13.Sathish
- 14.Manikandan
- 15.Velavan
- 16.Sivanandam
- 17.Gopi @ Manikandan

... Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 17

Vs.

- 1.The State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Manachanallur Police Station,
Trichy District.
(Crime No.172 of 2023)

... 1st Respondent/Complainant

- 2.Gopinath

... 2nd Respondent/Defacto complainant

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for the records in F.I.R. in Crime No.172 of 2023



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9964 of 2023

dated 14.05.2023 on the file of the Inspector Police, Mannachanallur Police Station, Trichy District and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.M.Maruthupandiyan
For Respondent No.1 : Mr.R.Suresh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
For Respondent No.2 : Mr.G.Manikandan

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No.172 of 2023 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.172 of 2023 for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324, 427 and 506(2) IPC.

3.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.9964 of 2023

4.The petitioners and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 16.06.2023, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending and inclined to quash all further proceedings in Crime No.172 of 2023 pending on the file of the 1st respondent police in respect of these petitioners.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.172 of 2023, pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners. The compromise memo is recorded and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

26.06.2023

Index : Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
trp

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9964 of 2023

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Manachanallur Police Station,
Trichy District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9964 of 2023

G.ILANGO VAN, J.,

trp

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9964 of 2023

26.06.2023