



WEB COPY

CRL OP(MD).



23

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 08/06/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.9944 of 2023

Murugesan

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Vasudevanallur Police Station,
Tenkasi District.
(Crime No.311 of 2020)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.G.Thalaimutharasu, Advocate.
For Respondent : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.439 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No.311 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/sole accused herein was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 17.03.2023 for the alleged offence under Sections 366 of IPC and section 6 r/w.5(1) of POCSO Act in Crime No.311 of 2020 (Spl.C.C.No.37 of 2021 on the file of the Special Court for POCSO Act cases, Tirunelveli) on the file of the respondent police seeks bail.

2. Earlier the petitioner was arrested and released on bail. Thereafter the petitioner did not appear before the trial Court , hence Non Bailable Warrant was issued on 28.02.2023. The petitioner was arrested on execution of Non Bailable Warrant on 17.03.2023.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is regularly appearing before the trial Court on all hearing dates and due to his ill health he was unable to appear before the trial Court, due to which Non Bailable Warrant came to be issued and the petitioner was remanded to custody pursuant to the execution of Non Bailable Warrant on 17.03.2023.



4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposing the petition would submit that the petitioner is absconding and with much difficulty the respondent police secured the petitioner. Further, if the petitioner is released on bail, he may abscond again.

5. Taking into consideration all the allegations made against the petitioner and also the fact that the petitioner was arrested on execution of Non bailable Warrant and also taking into consideration the period of incarceration, this Court inclined to grant bail to the petitioner by imposing conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Sessions Judge, Special Court for POCSO Act cases, Tirunelveli

i) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate/concerned court may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity

ii) the petitioner shall report before the learned Sessions Judge, Special Court for POCSO Act cases, Tirunelveli on all working days at 10.30 a.m until further orders

iii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness.

iv) the petitioner shall not abscond during trial.

v) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

vi) If the petitioner/ accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-

08/06/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

09/06/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

AAV



TO

1 THE SESSIONS JUDGE, SPECIAL COURT FOR POCSO ACT CASES,
TIRUNELVELI.

2 THE SUPERINTENDENT, CENTRAL PRISON, PALAYAMKOTTAI.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, VASUDEVANALLUR POLICE STATION,
TENKASI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC TO MR.G.THALAIMUTHARASU, ADVOCATE, SR-8326[I]

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.9944 of 2023

Date :08/06/2023

RS/SSS/SAR-(08.06.2023) 3P 6C