



W.P(MD)Nos.13313 to 13274 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 19.06.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.V.KARTHIKEYAN

W.P(MD)Nos.13313 to 13274 of 2023

and

W.M.P(MD)Nos.11227, 11221 and 11218 of 2023

in

W.P(MD)Nos.13290, 13286 and 13283 of 2023

W.P(MD)No.13274 of 2023

Periyasamy

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Trichy,
Trichy District.

2.The District Revenue Officer/
Land Acquisition Officer for SIPCOT
Trichy,
Trichy District.

... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records on the file of the 1st respondent pertaining to its order bearing Na.Ka.No.C1/11086/2013 dated 11.04.2022 and to quash the same and consequently, directing the respondents to consider the applications dated 04.12.2021 seeking to refer the matter to the appropriate Court so as to enable the petitioner to get enhanced compensation for the property acquired from the petitioner within a time frame that may be stipulated by this Court.



WEB COPY




W.P(MD)Nos.13313 to 13274 of 2023

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Herold Singh
For R1 & R2 : Mr.J.Ashok
Additional Government Pleader

COMMON ORDER

These writ petitions have been filed seeking the relief in the nature of certiorarified mandamus seeking interference with an order of the first respondent, the District Collector, Trichy, and to consequently direct the said first respondent to refer the matters relating to acquisition of lands of the petitioners herein to the appropriate Court, so as to enable the petitioners to get enhanced compensation for the properties acquired.

2.Let me state the facts in W.P(MD)Nos.13274 to 13313 of 2023. The writ petitioners in these writ petitions have stated that the lands at Kannudaiyanpatti and K.Periyapatti Village (North), Trichy District respectively, had been acquired for industrial purposes by G.O.No. 94(Industries) Department, dated 14.05.2013. It was also published in the Government Order ex-ordinary No.194 dated 22.09.2014. Notification was issued on 04.09.2014. Thereafter, G.O.Ms.No.45, dated 14.05.2014, was issued with direction for payment of interim compensation. Claiming that the stipulations under the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013, had not been followed,



(2) *The application shall state the grounds on which objection to the award is taken:*



W.P(MD)Nos.13313 to 13274 of 2023

Provided that every such application shall be made--

(a) person making it was present or represented before the Collector at the time when he made his award, within six weeks from the date of the Collectors award;

(b) in other cases, within six weeks of the receipt of the notice from the Collector under section 21, or within six months from the date of the Collectors award, whichever period shall first expire:

Provided further that the Collector may entertain an application after the expiry of the said period, within a further period of one year, if he is satisfied that there was sufficient cause for not filing it within the period specified in the first proviso.”

3.The said provision, gives authority to the Collector to, on receipt of an applications, to make a reference. Though time limit has been given, there is also a proviso which stipulates that the Collector may entertain the applications after the expiry of the said period and within a further period of one year. The time limits may be examined by the District Collector in each one of the cases and in each one of the cases that the petitioners give necessary reason for delay and if the District Collector is satisfied with the delay, then the District Collector may refer the matter to the competent Court, then the District Court at Trichy.



W.P(MD)Nos.13313 to 13274 of 2023

4.The learned counsel for the petitioners placed reliance on an earlier order in *W.P(MD)No.15207 of 2022, Muthulakshmi and others-vs-The District Collector, Trichy and another*. By an order, dated 17.04.2023 in similar issue, this Court had held that in view of this particular provision which had not been examined by the District Collector, the order impugned should be set aside and fresh orders have to be passed. The said order has to be passed on the basis of the representations already given. With respect to the period of limitation, the aforementioned directions may be followed and to avoid any technicalities, a direction is given to the petitioners herein to forward a fresh representations, copy of the original applications afresh, enclosing a copy of this order and if it is so, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, then the issue of limitation need not be stressed by the District Collector.

5.In view of the above, these writ petitions are allowed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

19.06.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
Ns



W.P(MD)Nos.13313 to 13274 of 2023

C.V.KARTHIKEYAN, J.

Ns

WEB COPY

To

1.The District Collector,
Trichy,
Trichy District.

2.The District Revenue Officer/
Land Acquisition Officer for SIPCOT
Trichy,
Trichy District.

**W.P(MD)Nos.13313 to 13274 of 2023
and
W.M.P(MD)Nos.11227, 11221 and 11218 of 2023
in
W.P(MD)Nos.13290, 13286 and 13283 of 2023**

19.06.2023