



HCP(MD)No.640 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 01.08.2023

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.(MD)No.640 of 2023

Pandiyarajan

... Petitioner / Detenu

Vs.

1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
State of Tamil Nadu,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 600 009.

2.The District Magistrate and District Collector,
Office of the District Magistrate and District Collector,
Pudukkottai District, Pudukkottai.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Trichy Central Prison, Trichy District.

.. Respondents



HCP(MD)No.640 of 2023

PRAAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the records relating to the detention order passed by the 2nd respondent in P.D.No.03/2023, dated 03.02.2023 and quash the same and to direct the respondents to produce the body or person of the detenu by name Pandiayarajan, S/o.Kalaiyarasan, aged about 19 years now detained at Trichy Central Prison before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Alagumani

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by *M.S.RAMESH,J.*)

The petitioner is the detenu viz., Pandiayarajan, S/o.Kalaiyarasan, aged about 19 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in P.D.No.03/2023, dated 03.02.2023, holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.



HCP(MD)No.640 of 2023

WEB COPY

2.We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3.Among other grounds, the detention order is mainly attacked on the ground that there is a delay in passing the order of detention. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner strongly contended that though the detenu was arrested on 29.11.2022, the detention order was passed only on 03.02.2023 i.e., after a considerable delay of more than 60 days. Therefore, the detention order has to be set aside.

4.As seen from the grounds of detention, it is clear that though the detenu was arrested on 29.11.2022, the order of detention came to be passed only on 03.02.2023 and hence, there is an abnormal delay in passing the order of Detention, which caused prejudice to the interest of the detenu.



HCP(MD)No.640 of 2023

WEB COPY

5.We have gone through the entire materials placed on record.

There is no satisfactory explanation offered by the Detaining Authority for the delay in passing the order of detention. Hence the impugned order of detention is liable to be set aside.

6.In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in P.D.No.03/2023, dated 03.02.2023 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Pandiayarajan, S/o.Kalaiyarasan, aged about 19 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(M.S.R.,J.) & (M.N.K.,J.)

01.08.2023

NCC : Yes / No

Index : Yes / No

Yuva



HCP(MD)No.640 of 2023

WEB COPY

To

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 600 009.
2. The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public Law and Order,
Fort St.George, Chennai-600 009.
3. The District Magistrate and District Collector,
Office of the District Magistrate and District Collector,
Pudukkottai District, Pudukkottai.
4. The Superintendent of Prison,
Trichy Central Prison, Trichy District.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.640 of 2023

M.S.RAMESH, J.
AND
M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

Yuva

H.C.P.(MD)No.640 of 2023

01.08.2023