



Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.10449 and 10450 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 22.12.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.10449 and 10450 of 2023

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.10449 of 2023

1.Vadamalai
2.Nagarajan
3.Nagapandi
4.Kowsalya
5.Nishanthi

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.The State Rep through
The Inspector of Police
Vadamadurai Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.1485 of 2020)

2.Mariyammal

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the entire relevant records in crime No.1485 of 2020 on the file of the 1st Respondent Police Station and quash the same.



Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.10449 and 10450 of 2023

For Petitioners : Mr.P.Manikandan

For R1 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

For R2 : Mr.M.Murugan

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.10450 of 2023

- 1.Shanmugasundaram
- 2.Mudimalai
- 3.Manickam
- 4.Vellaiyan
- 5.Mariammal

... Petitioners

Vs.

- 1.The State Rep through
The Inspector of Police
Vadamadurai Police Station
Dindigul District
(Crime No.1486 of 2020)

- 2.Nagapandi

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the entire relevant records in crime No.1486 of 2020 on the file of the 1st Respondent Police Station and quash the same.



Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.10449 and 10450 of 2023

For Petitioners : Mr.P.Manikandan

For R1 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

For R2 : Mr.M.Murugan

COMMON ORDER

These Criminal Original Petition are filed to call for the entire relevant records in crime Nos. 1485 and 1486 of 2020 on the file of the 1st Respondent Police Station and quash the same.

2. The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent in both cases, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.1485 of 2020 for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(i) IPC and in Crime No.1486 of 2020 for the offences under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(i) IPC against the petitioners.

3. The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.



Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.10449 and 10450 of 2023

WEB COPY

4.The petitioners and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 13.05.2023, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, all further proceedings in Crime Nos. 1485 and 1486 of 2020 pending on the file of the 1st respondent police are quashed and the compromise memo is recorded.

6.In the result, these Criminal Original Petitions stand allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime Nos. 1485 and 1486 of 2020, pending on the file of the 1st respondent police are hereby quashed in respect of



Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.10449 and 10450 of 2023

the petitioners and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part
of this order.

22.12.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

trp

To

1. The Inspector of Police
Vadamadurai Police Station,
Dindigul District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.10449 and 10450 of 2023

G.ILANGO VAN,J.

trp

Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.10449 and 10450 of 2023

22.12.2023