



HCP(MD)No.620 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 14.08.2023

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.(MD)No.620 of 2023

B.Ramya

.. Petitioner / wife
of the Detenu

Vs.

1.The Principal Secretary to Government,
Government of Tamil Nadu
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, George Fort, Chennai - 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Madurai City, Madurai.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Madurai Central Prison, Madurai

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the records on the file of the 2nd respondent in No.09/BCDFGISSSV/2023 dated 20.02.2023 and set aside the order of detention passed therein and directing the respondents to produce the detenu namely Balakrishnan, male aged 28 years, S/o.Pandi



HCP(MD)No.620 of 2023

before this Court, now detained at Central Prison, Madurai and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.William Christopher
For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.S.RAMESH,J.)

The petitioner is the wife of the detenu viz., Balakrishnan S/o.Pandi aged about 28 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in No.09/BCDFGISSSV/2023 dated 20.02.2023 holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.



HCP(MD)No.620 of 2023

WEB COPY

3. Among other grounds, the detention order is mainly attacked on the ground that there is a delay in passing the order of detention. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner strongly contended that though the detenu was arrested on 13.12.2022, the detention order was passed only on 20.02.2023 i.e., after a considerable delay of more than 60 days. Therefore, the detention order has to be set aside.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor strongly objected this petition.

5. As seen from the grounds of detention, it is clear that though the detenu was arrested on 13.12.2022, the order of detention came to be passed only on 20.02.2023 and hence, there is an abnormal delay in passing the order of Detention, which caused prejudice to the interest of the detenu.



HCP(MD)No.620 of 2023

WEB COPY

6. We have gone through the entire materials placed on record. There is no satisfactory explanation offered by the Detaining Authority for the delay in passing the order of detention. Hence the impugned order of detention is liable to be set aside.

7. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in No.09/BCDFGISSSV/2023 dated 20.02.2023 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Balakrishnan S/o.Pandi, aged about 28 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(M.S.R.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)
14.08.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
RR



HCP(MD)No.620 of 2023

To

1. The Principal Secretary to Government,
Government of Tamil Nadu
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, George Fort, Chennai - 600 009.

2. The Joint Secretary
Public (Law and Order)
Secretariat, Chennai.

3. The Commissioner of Police,
Madurai City, Madurai.

4. The Superintendent of Prison,
Madurai Central Prison, Madurai

5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.620 of 2023

M.S.RAMESH,J.
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.

RR

H.C.P.(MD)No.620 of 2023

14.08.2023