



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.579 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 12.07.2023

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.(MD)No.579 of 2023

V.Sumitha

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.State of Tamil Nadu

Rep. by Secretary to Government
Home Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate

Kanyakumari District
at Nagercoil.

3.The Superintendent of Police,

Kanyakumari District
at Nagercoil.

4.The Superintendent of Prison,

Central Prison,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli District.

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the entire records about the order of



HCP(MD)No.579 of 2023

detention passed in P.D.No.62/2022 dated 20.09.2022 by the second respondent and set aside the same and consequently directing the respondents to produce the detenu namely, V.Prabish @ Perish, S/o.Varuvel Anthony, aged about 25 years, before this Court, who is confined in Central Prison, Palayamkottai, and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Balaji Rajaram

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.S.RAMESH, J.)

The petitioner is the sister of the detenu viz., V.Prabish @ Perish, S/o.Varuvel Anthony, aged about 25 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in detention order in P.D.No.62/2022 dated 20.09.2022 holding him to be a "Drug Offender", as contemplated under Section 2(e) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the



HCP(MD)No.579 of 2023

respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3.Among other grounds, the detention order is mainly attacked on the ground that there is a delay in passing the order of detention. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner strongly contended that though the detenu was arrested on 11.08.2022, the detention order was passed only on 20.09.2022 i.e., after a considerable delay of more than 35 days. Therefore, the detention order has to be set aside.

4.As seen from the grounds of detention, it is clear that though the detenu was arrested on 11.08.2022, the order of detention came to be passed only on 20.09.2022 and hence, there is an abnormal delay in passing the order of Detention, which caused prejudice to the interest of the detenu.

5.We have gone through the entire materials placed on record. There is no satisfactory explanation offered by the Detaining Authority for the delay in passing the order of detention. Hence the impugned order of detention is liable to be set aside.



HCP(MD)No.579 of 2023

WEB COPY

6. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in P.D.No.62/2022 dated 20.09.2022 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., V.Prabish @ Perish, S/o.Varuvel Anthony, aged about 25 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(M.S.R.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)
12.07.2023

NCC : Yes/No
Internet : Yes
RM/RR



HCP(MD)No.579 of 2023

To

1. The Secretary to Government
State of Tamil Nadu
Home Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate
Kanyakumari District
at Nagercoil.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Kanyakumari District
at Nagercoil.
4. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli District.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.579 of 2023

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.

RM/RR

H.C.P.(MD)No.579 of 2023

12.07.2023