



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.(MD)



23

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06/06/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP (MD) . Nos.8469 and 8774 of 2023

Boyz Mani @ Mani Murugan

... Petitioner/Accused No.2
in Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8469 of 2023

1.Dhanasekaran

2.Dhanapal

... Petitioners/Accused No.3 & 4
in Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8774 of 2023

Vs

State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
E-5, Mattuthavani Police Station,
Mattuthavani, Madurai City,
Madurai.
Cr.No.165/2023.

... Respondent/Complainant
in both petitions

For Petitioner
(in Crl.OP(MD).8469/2023)

: M/s.S.Murugaganesan, Advocate.

For Petitioner
(in Crl.OP.(MD).8774/2023)

: Mr.B.Aravinthan, Advocate.

For Respondent
(in both petitions)

: Mr.R.M.Anbunithi,
Additional Public Prosecutor


PETITIONS FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

COMMON PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.165/2023 on the file of the
respondent Police.

COMMON ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A2 to A4, who apprehend arrest at the hands of
the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections
147, 364(A), 365, 324 and 506(ii) of I.P.C., in Crime No.165 of 2023
on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.



2.The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto co-accused A1 is doing finance business in the Cinema Industry and he is also the District President of Jallikattu Association. A1 is well known to the de-facto complainant through the Jallikattu events. On 26.04.2023, at about 07.30 p.m., the de-facto complainant along with his friend AC Pandi went to the Kingfisher Club in Pandikovil road to buy liquor. When his friend went inside the shop, all the accused said to have kidnapped the de-facto complainant in his own car and demanded a sum of Rs.10,00,000/-. The de-facto complainant told his wife to give his gold articles to his friend AC Pandi and A4 got the gold jewels about 34 sovereigns from him as ransom. Hence, the case.

3.Heard. Perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

4.It is submitted by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor that the first petitioner/A2 is having 8 previous cases and the petitioners 2 and 3/A3 and A4 are having ten previous cases. Therefore, the custodial interrogation the petitioners is very much required in this case.

5.Taking into consideration the bad antecedents of the petitioners, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6.Hence, these petitions are dismissed.

sd/-

06/06/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

06/06/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

SJI

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, E-5, MATTUTHAVANI POLICE STATION,
MATTUTHAVANI, MADURAI CITY, MADURAI.

2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.MURUGAGANESAN.S, Advocate (SR-8228[I] dated 07/06/2023)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) . Nos.8469 and 8774 of 2023

Date :06/06/2023

RS/VR/SAR-(06.06.2023) 2P 4C

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>