



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7414 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 12.06.2023

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE G.JLANGOVAN

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7414 of 2023

1. Ramesh
 2. Karthick
 3. Soorya @ Surya Prakash
 4. Karthicksethupathy @ Karthik Sethupathi,
 5. Rajasekar
 6. Manirethinam @ Manirathinam
 7. Karthi @ Karthick ... Petitioners/ Accused Nos.1 to 6 & 8
- Vs.

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Paramakudi Taluk Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.23/2023). ... 1st Respondent / Complainant
2. Sekar ... 2nd Respondent/ Complainant
3. Rajarampandi ... 3rd Respondent / Victim

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for the records and quash the F.I.R. in Crime No. 23 of 2023, on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same as far as the petitioners are concerned.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7414 of 2023

For Petitioners : Mr.G.Vishnuram
For R1 : Mr. R .Suresh Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor
For R2 : Mr.P.Thiruchelvam

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking to quash the F.I.R. in Crime No.23 of 2023, on the file of the first respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.23 of 2023 for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 506(ii), 427 & 379 of I.P.C. against the petitioners.

3. The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the respondents 2 and 3 amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the respondents 2 and 3 and also by their respective counsels.

4. The petitioners and the respondents 2 and 3 appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards.

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/> They were also identified by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor and the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7414 of 2023

Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Joint Compromise Memo is recorded.

5. Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending and inclined to quash the F.I.R. in Crime No.23 of 2023, on the file of the first respondent police, in respect of the petitioners.

6. In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the the F.I.R. in Crime No.23 of 2023, on the file of the first respondent police, is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners alone. The joint compromise memo is recorded and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

12.06.2023

Index : Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
Ls



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7414 of 2023

G.ILANGO VAN, J.,

Ls

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Paramakudi Taluk Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7414 of 2023

12.06.2023