



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 24/04/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN
CRL OP(MD). No.7169 of 2023

WEB COPY

Muthu Irulandi

... Petitioner/Accused Rank Not Known

Vs

The State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Kalligudi Police Station,
Madurai District.
Crime No.68 of 2023.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Sankarapandian.J,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.M.ANBUNITHI,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C
PRAYER :-


For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.68 of 2023 on the file of the
Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of
the respondent Police for the offence punishable under Section 306
of IPC in Crime No.68 of 2023 on the file of the respondent Police,
seek anticipatory bail.

2.The defacto complainant is the husband of the deceased and he
is working as a mill employee in Coimbatore and they are having five
female child and the deceased was working as Supervisor under
Hundred Days scheme. The scheme of the daily wages was reduced
and when the same was questioned by the deceased there was dispute
between the deceased and the accused persons. Hence the deceased
has given complaint to the police no action has been taken and
thereafter on 12.04.2023 at about 12.00 pm., the deceased went in
the bus to give complaint to the superior officers at that time due
to frustration the wife of the deceased jumped down from the bus
and committed suicide, hence the case.

3. Heard both side and perused the materials available on
record including the First Information Report.



4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is arrayed as A1 and he is none other than the Panchayat Clerk. He would further submit that he has nothing to do with the alleged occurrence. Infact the deceased was employed for the past two years and only on the instructions of the higher officials she was prevented from any employment and that too by the President and he has no role in the alleged occurrence.

5. It is seen that there are totally three accused in this case and the petitioner herein is arrayed as A1, who is a Panchayat Clerk. It is seen that the deceased was working under 100 days scheme. The accused persons refused to give employment because of her conduct and also harassed her. Therefore while the victim while travelling in the bus along with her children she jumped out of the bus and died. She has also left suicide note. A perusal of the suicide note reveals the fact that there are specific allegations as against all the accused persons. That apart the villagers are refusing to receive the body from the hospital till the accused were arrested, therefore custodial interrogation of the petitioners is very much required in this case, hence this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. In the result, the petition stands dismissed.

sd/-

24/04/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/04/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

AAV
TO

1. The Inspector of Police,
Kalligudi Police Station,
Madurai District.

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.7169 of 2023

Date :24/04/2023

RD/SVS/SAR-III (28/04/2023) 2P 3C