



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7075 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **14.06.2023**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.ILANGO VAN**

CrI.O.P.(MD) No.7075 of 2023

K.Arun Kumar

... Petitioner / Accused rank
not known

Vs.

1. State, represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Silaiman Police Station,
Madurai District.
Crime No. 215/2019.

...1st Respondent/Complainant

2. Vijaya

... 2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to call for the records pursuant to the proceedings of FIR in Crime No. 215 of 2019 on the file of the 1st respondent Police and to quash the same as against the petitioner.

For petitioner : Mr. Surliraja.P

For R1 : Mr.R.Suresh Kumar,
Government Advocate(Crl.side)

For R2 : Mr.M.Jeeva



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7075 of 2023

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No.215 of 2019 dated 12.06.2019 the file of the 1st respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioner is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.215 of 2019 for the offences punishable under Sections 279 and 337 of IPC against the petitioner.

3.The further contention of the petitioner is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioner and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4. The compromise was reached between the parties, by which, the petitioner agreed to pay Rs.50,000/- to the defacto complainant. In the above said Rs.50,000/-, Rs.30,000/- was paid on 20.04.2023 before this Court. For payment of the balance amount of Rs.20,000/- the matter is listed today. Voucher has been produced by the petitioner, which is also



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7075 of 2023

admitted by both side counsels that the balance amount of Rs.20,000/- was paid to the defacto complainant on 13.06.2023.

5. The petitioner and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

6. Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioner and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 13.06.2023, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, all further proceedings in Crime No.215 of 2019 pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is quashed and the compromise memo is recorded.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7075 of 2023

WEB COPY

7. In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.215 of 2019, pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioner and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

14.06.2023

NCC:Yes/No

Index : Yes/No

Internet : Yes/No

Indu

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Silaiman Police Station,
Madurai District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7075 of 2023

G.ILANGOVAN. J.

Indu

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7075 of 2023

14.06.2023