

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **05.04.2023**

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.ILANGOVAN

WP.(MD)No.7683 of 2023

T.Seenivasan

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Vadakadu Police Station,
Pudukkottai District.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents herein to grant permission and give police protection to conduct the programs like “Aadal Padal Programme” on 08.04.2023 at 06:30p.m in pursuance to the temple Panguni Uthira festival of “Arulmighu Sri Balasubramanisamy Thirukovil”, situated in Vadakadu Village, Alangudi Taluk, Pudukkottai District by considering the petitioner's representation dated 30.03.2023.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Gandhirajan
For Respondent : Mr. R.Sureshkumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents herein to grant permission and give police protection to conduct the programs like “Aadal Padal Programme” on 08.04.2023 at 06:30p.m in pursuance to the temple Panguni Uthira festival of “Arulmighu Sri Balasubramanisamy Thirukovil”, situated in Vadakadu Village, Alangudi Taluk, Pudukkottai District by considering the petitioner's representation dated 30.03.2023.

2. Mr.R.Suresh Kumar, learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner submitted that festival for the temple, by name, “Arulmighu Sri Balasubramanisamy Thirukovil” at Vadakadu Village, Alangudi Taluk, Pudukkottai District,

is going to be held on 08.04.2023. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme on 08.04.2023, they sought permission of the police by giving a representation dated 30.03.2023. But, the second respondent has not considered the said representation. Since no order was passed, the writ petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondents would submit that the second respondent has received the representation of the writ petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner and the learned Government Advocate(Crl. Side) appearing for the respondents.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019, dated 09.04.2019, along with instructions to

the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the second respondent is hereby directed to consider the representation of the writ petitioner, dated 30.03.2023 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this Writ Petition stands disposed of.

No costs.

05.04.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
tta

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Vadakadu Police Station,
Pudukkottai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

WP.(MD)No.7683 of 2023

G.ILANGO VAN,J

tta

Order made in
WP.(MD)No.7683 of 2023

Dated : 05.04.2023