

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 31.03.2023

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

W.P(MD)No.7157 of 2023

Chinnakaruppan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Peraiyur,
Madurai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Peraiyur Police Station,
Peraiyur,
Madurai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to accord permission to the Adal Padal Programme to be held on 13.04.2023 at about 6.00 pm to be conducted at the Arulmigu Sri Oorkatha Mariamman Temple at Jriusilampatti Village and Post, Peraiyur Taluk, Madurai District based on the petitioner's representation, dated 28.03.2023.

For Petitioner : Mr. S. Krishnan

For Respondents : Mr.S.Manikandan
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

This writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to accord permission to the Adal Padal Programme to be held on 13.04.2023 at about 6.00 pm to be conducted at the Arulmigu Sri Oorkatha Mariamman Temple at Jriusilampatti Village and Post, Peraiyur Taluk, Madurai District based on the petitioner's representation, dated 28.03.2023.

2. Mr.S.Manikandan, learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner submitted that festival for the temple, by name, “Arulmigu Sri Oorkatha Mariamman Temple at Jariusilampatti Village and Post, Peraiyur Taluk, Madurai, is going to be held on 13.04.2023. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme on 13.04.2023, at 6.00 pm., they sought permission of the police by giving a representation, dated 28.03.2023. But, the second respondent has not considered the said

representation. Since no order was passed, the writ petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondents would submit that the second respondent has received the representation of the writ petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondents.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc. 007301/Genl.I (1)/2019, dated 09.04.2019, along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the Director General of Police, the second respondent is hereby directed to consider the representation of the writ petitioner, dated 28.03.2023 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular.

7. With the above direction, this Writ Petition stands disposed of.

No costs.

31.03.2023

NCC :Yes/No

Index :Yes/No

Internet : Yes/ No

trp

To

1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Peraiyur,
Madurai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Peraiyur Police Station,
Peraiyur,
Madurai District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

W.P(MD)No.7157 of 2023

G.ILANGO VAN,J

trp

Order made in

W.P(MD)No.7157 of 2023

Dated : 31.03.2023