

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 30.03.2023

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

W.P(MD)No.7070 of 2023

Muthu

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai District,
Pudukkottai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Panayapatti Police Station, Panayapatti,
Pudukkottai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to grant permission and protection for cultural event namely Adal Padal scheduled to be held on 02.04.2023 about 07.00 p.m. to 11.00 p.m. in connection with Panguni Thiruvizha in the month of Panguni in Arulmighu Sri Karuppar Temple at Rankiyam Village and Post Thirumayam Taluk, Pudukkottai District by consider the petitioner representation dated 20.03.2023.

For Petitioner : Mr.E.Balasubramanian

For Respondents : Mr.R.Suresh Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. side)

ORDER

The writ petitioner filed this Writ Petition seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant permission and protection for cultural event namely Adal Padal scheduled to be held on 02.04.2023 about 07.00 p.m. to 11.00 p.m. in connection with Panguni Thiruvizha in the month of Panguni in Arulmighu Sri Karuppar Temple at Rankiyam Village and Post Thirumayam Taluk, Pudukkottai District by consider the petitioner representation dated 20.03.2023.

2. Mr.R.Suresh Kumar, learned Government Advocate (Crl. side), takes notice for the respondents. By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner submitted that Panguni Thiruvizha in the month of Panguni in Arulmighu Sri Karuppar Temple at Rankiyam Village and Post Thirumayam Taluk, Pudukkottai District, is going to be held on 02.04.2023. Subsequent to the same, in order to conduct a cultural programme on 02.04.2023, they sought permission of the Police by giving a representation dated 20.03.2023. But, the second respondent has not considered the said

representation. Since no order was passed, the writ petitioner has come before this Court for the above said relief.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondents would submit that he has no objection for granting permission to conduct a cultural programme subject to time restriction in between 07.00 p.m to 10.00 p.m. on 02.04.2023, and also submitted that the second respondent has received the representation of the writ petitioner and the same is pending for consideration.

5. I have considered the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondents.

6. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu, Chennai had issued a circular memorandum in Rc.007301/Genl.I (1)/2019, dated 09.04.2019, along with instructions to the Inspectors of Police throughout Tamil Nadu for consideration of applications seeking permission for conducting cultural programme. Therefore, in view of the detailed circular and guidelines issued by the

Director General of Police, the second respondent is hereby directed to consider the representation of the writ petitioner, dated 20.03.2023 and pass suitable orders based on the above said circular, in the light of the above submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side).

7. With the above direction, this writ petition stands disposed of.

No costs.

30.03.2023

NCC :Yes/No

Index :Yes/No

Internet : Yes/ No

TM

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai District,
Pudukkottai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Panayapatti Police Station, Panayapatti,
Pudukkottai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

W.P(MD)No.7070 of 2023

G.ILANGO VAN, J

TM

Order made in
W.P(MD)No.7070 of 2023

Dated :30.03.2023