



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6031 of 2023



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **23.11.2023**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.ILANGO VAN**

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.6031 of 2023

1.Murugesan @ Murugesan

2.Mari @ Mariappan

3.Murugan @ Kothanar Murugan

4.Thangaselvam

... Petitioners/Accused

Vs.

1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Ambasamudram,
Tirunelveli District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Ambasamudram Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No.105 of 2022).

...1st and 2nd Respondents /
Complainants

3.Mupidathi

...3rd Respondent/Defacto
Complainant

4.Chermadurai

...4th Respondent/Victim

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to call for the records pertaining to the FIR in Crime No.105 of 2022 on the file of the Inspector of Police, Ambasamudram Police Station, Tirunelveli District and quash the same.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6031 of 2023

For Petitioners : Mr.Sathiya Chidambaram
For R1 & R2 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.side)
For R3 & R4 : Mr.C.Rathinavel Pandian

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No.105 of 2022 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.105 of 2022 for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 324 IPC r/w. 3(1)(s), 3(1)(r) and 3(2)(va) of SC/ST Act, against the petitioners.

3.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioners and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They



were also identified by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 11.04.2023, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, all further proceedings in Crime No.105 of 2022 pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is quashed and the compromise memo is recorded.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.105 of 2022, pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

23.11.2023

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
Indu



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6031 of 2023

G.ILANGOVAN. J.

Indu

To

- 1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Ambasamudram,
Tirunelveli District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Ambasamudram Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.6031 of 2023

23.11.2023