



HCP(MD)No.396 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 25.07.2023

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.(MD)No.396 of 2023

Neethiya

.. Petitioner / mother of the
Detenu

Vs.

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu
Represented by its
Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai - 600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Madurai.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Madurai.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
Kalligudi Police Station,
Madurai District

.. Respondents



HCP(MD)No.396 of 2023

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the records relating to the detention order passed by the 2nd respondent in Detention Order BCDFGISSSV No.89/2022 dated 12.12.2022 and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu Sabari son of Koodalsamy @ Koodalingam, male, aged 34 years who is detained at Central Prison, Madurai, before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Muniyandi

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.S.RAMESH,J.)

The petitioner is the mother of the detenu viz., Sabari, S/o.Koodalsamy @ Koodalingam, aged about 34 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in BCDFGISSSV No. 89/2022 dated 12.12.2022 holding him to be a "Sexual Offender", as contemplated under Section 2(ggg) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.



HCP(MD)No.396 of 2023

WEB COPY

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Among other grounds, the detention order is mainly attacked on the ground that there is a delay in passing the order of detention. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner strongly contended that though the detenu was arrested on 10.10.2022, the detention order was passed only on 12.12.2022 i.e., after a considerable delay of more than two months. Therefore, the detention order has to be set aside.

4. As seen from the grounds of detention, it is clear that though the detenu was arrested on 10.10.2022, the order of detention came to be passed only on 12.12.2022 and hence, there is an abnormal delay in passing the order of Detention, which caused prejudice to the interest of the detenu.



HCP(MD)No.396 of 2023

WEB COPY

5. We have gone through the entire materials placed on record. There is no satisfactory explanation offered by the Detaining Authority for the delay in passing the order of detention. Hence the impugned order of detention is liable to be set aside.

6. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in BCDFGISSSV No.89/2022 dated 12.12.2022 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Sabari S/o.Koodalsamy @ Koodalingam, aged about 34 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(M.S.R.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)
25.07.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
RR



HCP(MD)No.396 of 2023

To

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai - 600 009.
2. The Joint Secretary
Public (Law and Order)
Secretariat, Chennai.
3. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Madurai.
4. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Madurai.
5. The Inspector of Police,
Kalligudi Police Station,
Madurai District
6. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.396 of 2023

M.S.RAMESH,J.
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.

RR

H.C.P.(MD)No.396 of 2023

25.07.2023