



H.C.P(MD)No.357 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 21.07.2023

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

H.C.P(MD)No.357 of 2023

Muniyandiraja

.. Petitioner

vs.

1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
State of Tamil Nadu,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 600 009.

2.The District Magistrate and District Collector,
Office of the District Magistrate and District Collector,
Ariyalur District, Ariyalur.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Trichy Central Prison,
Trichy District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a writ of habeas corpus calling for the entire records connected with the detention order of the second respondent in Cr.M.P.No.35/2022 dated 23.11.2022 and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu or body of the detenu namely, Muniyandiraja, S/o.Dhundaiyan, aged



about 48 years, now detained as 'Goonda' in Trichy Central Prison before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Alagumani

For respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.S.RAMESH, J.]

The petitioner is the detenu viz., Muniyandiraja, S/o.Dhundaiyan, aged about 48 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by order in Cr.M.P.No.35/2022 dated 23.11.2022, holding him to be a 'Goonda', as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Among other grounds, the detention order is mainly attacked on the ground that there is a delay in passing the order of detention. The learned



counsel appearing for the petitioner strongly contended that though the detenu was arrested on 07.10.2022, the detention order was passed only on 23.11.2022 i.e., after a considerable delay of more than forty five days. Therefore, the detention order has to be set aside.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor strongly opposed this petition by filing counter.

5. As seen from the grounds of detention, it is clear that though the detenu was arrested on 07.10.2022, the order of detention came to be passed only on 23.11.2022 and hence, there is an abnormal delay in passing the order of Detention, which caused prejudice to the interest of the detenu.

6. We have gone through the entire materials placed on record. There is no satisfactory explanation offered by the Detaining Authority for the delay in passing the order of detention. Hence the impugned order of detention is liable to be set aside.



H.C.P(MD)No.357 of 2023

7. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in Cr.M.P.No.35/2022 dated 23.11.2022, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Muniyandiraja, S/o.Dhundaiyan, aged about 48 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(M.S.R., J.) (M.N.K., J.)

21.07.2023

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order

mbi



H.C.P(MD)No.357 of 2023

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 600 009.
- 2.The District Magistrate and District Collector,
Office of the District Magistrate and District Collector,
Ariyalur District, Ariyalur.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Trichy Central Prison,
Trichy District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.
- 5.The Joint Secretary,
Public (Law and Order) Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai.



WEB COPY



H.C.P(MD)No.357 of 2023

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

mbi

H.C.P(MD)No.357 of 2023

21.07.2023