



Crl.R.C.(MD).No.621 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 10.07.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN

Crl.RC(MD)No.621 of 2023

Vargesh @ Vedigundu Albart

... Petitioner/Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Sub Divisional Magistrate cum
Sub Collector,
Kalkulam Taluk, Padmanabhapuram,
Kanyakumari District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Thuckalay Police Station,
Kanyakumari District.
(LIR.No.52 of 2022)

... Respondents/Complainants

PRAYER: Criminal Revision Petition has been filed under Section 397 & 401 of Cr.P.C., to call for the records and set aside the order on the file of the first respondent dated 31.10.2022 in M.C.No.66/2022 in M.C.No.55 of 2022 in P.S.LIR.No.52 of 2022.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Pragalathan

For Respondents : Mr.Sivakumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



Crl.R.C.(MD).No.621 of 2023

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that against the above said order they are going to file SLP before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. This Court considered the rival submission made by both parties.

6. The issue in this case is that *whether the first respondent has jurisdiction to pass impugned order under Section 122(1)(b) of Cr.P.C against the petitioner*. The said issue was answered by the Hon'ble Division Bench judgment in **P.Sathish @ Sathish Kumar Vs. State** reported in **2023 (1) MWN (Crl.) 499** negatively in the following terms:-

“88. Now that we have ousted the camel and put the canopy of justice back to where it belongs, our answers to the questions formulated in paragraph 2 are as under:

(a) GO.Ms. No. 659, dated 12.09.2013 and GO.Ms. No. 181, dated 20.02.2014 vesting Deputy Commissioners of Police with the powers of an Executive Magistrate for the purposes of Section 107 to 110 Cr.P.C., suffer from manifest arbitrariness and violates the principle of separation of powers under the Constitution. The GO's are consequently violative of Articles 14, 21 and 50 of the Constitution of India and the proviso to Section 6 of the Madras District Police Act. Resultantly, we declare GO.MS. No. 659, dated 12.09.2013 and GO.MS. No. 181, dated 20.02.2014 as unconstitutional and ultra vires the aforesaid provisions. Consequently, the status quo ante that prevailed prior to the issuance of GO.MS. No. 659, dated 12.09.2013 and GO.MS. No. 181, dated 20.02.2014 stands restored forthwith.

(b) Ex-consequenti, the decision in Balamurugan v. State,



Crl.R.C.(MD).No.621 of 2023

WEB COPY

MANU/TN/2058/2016, will stand overruled.

*(c) Violation of a bond executed under Section 110 of the Cr.P.C., can be dealt with under Section 446 of the Code and not under Section 122(1)(b) of the Cr.P.C. Consequently, we affirm the judgment of Mr. Justice P.N. Prakash in *Devi v. Executive Magistrate* (MANU/TN/5284/2020 : 2020 6 CTC 157) in its entirety. The decision of the learned single judge to the contrary in *Vadivel @ Mettai Vadivel v. The State* (Crl.R.C. No. 982 of 2018 etc., batch) will stand overruled.*

(d) GO.Ms. No. 659, dated 12.09.2013 and GO.Ms. No. 181, dated 20.02.2014 were issued only in exercise of powers under Section 20(1) of the Cr.P.C., and these Government Orders have been held to be unconstitutional. And;

*(e) In the light of the law laid down in paragraph 24 of the three judge bench decision of the Supreme Court in *Gulam Abbas v. State of Uttar Pradesh* MANU/SC/0059/1981 : (1982) 1 SCC 71, an Executive Magistrate cannot authorize imprisonment under Section 122(1)(b) for violation of a bond under Section 107 Cr.P.C. A person who has violated the bond executed before the Executive Magistrate under the said provision will have to be challaned or prosecuted before the Judicial Magistrate for inquiry and punishment under Section 122(1)(b) Cr.P.C.”*

7.In view of the above ratio, this Court is inclined to quash the impugned order passed by the first respondent.

8.In the result, the Criminal Revision is allowed and the impugned order dated 31.10.2022, passed by the first respondent/Sub Divisional Magistrate cum Sub Collector, Kalkulam Taluk, Padmanabhapuram, Kanyakumari District, in



Crl.R.C.(MD).No.621 of 2023

M.C.No.66/2022 in M.C.No.55 of 2022 in P.S.LIR.No.52 of 2022, is hereby set aside and therefore, the petitioner is directed to be released forthwith, unless his custody is required in connection with any other case.

10.07.2023

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
dss

Note : Issue order copy on 10.07.2023

To

- 1.The Sub Divisional Magistrate cum
Sub Collector,
Kalkulam Taluk, Padmanabhapuram,
Kanyakumari District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thuckalay Police Station,
Kanyakumari District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.(MD).No.621 of 2023

K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN, J.

dss

Crl.RC(MD)No.621 of 2023

10.07.2023