



HCP(MD)No.278 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 06.11.2023

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **M.SUNDAR**
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **R.SAKTHIVEL**

HCP(MD)No.278 of 2023

C.Susila

... Petitioner

vs.

1. State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai-600 009.

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Kanniyakumari District,
Nagercoil.

3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Palaymkottai,
Tirunelveli.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the entire records connected with the detention order passed in P.D.No.02 of 2023 dated 13.01.2023 on the file of the 2nd respondent herein and quash the same



HCP(MD)No.278 of 2023

and direct the respondents to produce the detenu or body of the detenu namely, the petitioner's son i.e., Sujin @ Sujin Dhas, aged about 36 years, S/o. Arulappan, now detained at the Central Prison, Palayamkottai, before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Kishore Kumar
For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **M.SUNDAR, J.**]

When the captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' [hereinafter 'HCP' for the sake of brevity] was listed in the Admission Board on 02.03.2023, a Hon'ble Coordinate Division Bench made the following order in the Admission Board:

		Signatures
2/3/23	HCP(MD) NO. 278/2023	GITJ & VNRNT Admit. MR. A. Thiruvadi Kumar, learned addl- public prosecutor takes notice for the respondents, post after eight weeks. [G. J. J.] & [V. N. R. K. T.] 2/3/2023



2. It has now become necessary to set out a thumbnail sketch of factual matrix and we do so in the paragraphs infra.

3. Today, the captioned matter is in the Final Hearing Board.

4. Mr.V.Kishore Kumar, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor for all respondents are before us.

5. Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' [hereinafter 'HCP' for the sake of convenience and clarity] has been filed by the mother of the detenu assailing the 'preventive detention order dated 13.01.2023 bearing reference P.D.No.02 of 2023' [hereinafter 'impugned preventive detention order' for the sake of brevity and convenience]. To be noted, sponsoring authority has not been arrayed as a respondent and we find that Station House Officer of All Women Police Station, Marthandam, is the sponsoring authority [hereinafter 'sponsoring authority' for convenience and brevity] and 2nd respondent is the detaining authority as impugned preventive detention order has been made by 2nd respondent.



6. Impugned detention order has been made under 'The Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detenu is a 'Sexual Offender' within the meaning of Section 2(ggg) of Act 14 of 1982.

7. The ground case which constitutes sole substratum of the impugned preventive detention order is Crime No.46 of 2022 on the file of All Women Police Station, Marthandam, for alleged offences under Sections 3(b), 4 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 [hereinafter 'POCSO Act' for brevity] and Section 376AB, 506(i) of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity]. Considering the nature of the challenge to the impugned detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix of the case.



8. In the final hearing today, learned counsel predicated his campaign against the impugned preventive detention order on the point that the detenu was arrested on 02.12.2022 but the impugned preventive detention order has been made only on 13.01.2023 resulting in live and proximate link between grounds 8 and purpose of detention getting snapped.

9. Mr.Thiruvadi Kumar, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits that materials had to be collected and time was consumed in this exercise.

10. We remind ourselves of ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's*** case [***Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333***]. To be noted, **Banik** case arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering a proposal by a Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between



HCP(MD)No.278 of 2023

grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in **Banik** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and the other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

11. To be noted, **Banik** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in **Gomathi Vs. The Principal Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/334, Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733, Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1110, N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1159** and a series of similar orders in HCP cases.

12. To be noted, the impugned preventive detention order is predicated on a solitary case viz., Crime No.46 of 2022 on the file of All



HCP(MD)No.278 of 2023

Women Police Station, Marthandam, for alleged offences under Sections 3(b), 4 of POCSO Act and Section 376AB, 506(i) of IPC and therefore this solitary case is the sole substratum of the impugned preventive detention order.

13. This Bench is informed by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor that in the solitary case, final report has been filed within the prescribed time line and the same is now on the file of Special Court for POCSO Cases, Madurai, in Special S.C.No.11 of 2023. We make it clear that if the detenu seeks bail before the trial Court, the trial Court shall deal with the bail application on its own merits and in accordance with law untrammelled by this order which has been made for the limited purpose of testing the impugned preventive detention order in habeas legal drill on hand.

14. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.



HCP(MD)No.278 of 2023

15. Ergo, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned preventive detention order dated 13.01.2023 bearing reference in P.D.No. 02 of 2023 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Sujin @ Sujin Dhas, son of Arulappan, aged 36 years, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S., J.) (R.S.V., J.)
06.11.2023

Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
bala

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Palayamkottai.

To

1. The Secretary to Government,
State of Tamil Nadu,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai-600 009.

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Kanniyakumari District,
Nagercoil.



HCP(MD)No.278 of 2023

3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Palaymkottai,
Tirunelveli.

4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

5. The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public (Law and Order) Department,
Secretariat, Chennai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.278 of 2023

M.SUNDAR, J.
and
R.SAKTHIVEL, J.

bala

ORDER MADE IN
HCP(MD)No.278 of 2023
DATED : 06.11.2023