

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **14.03.2023**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.ILANGO VAN**

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.4498 of 2023
and Crl.M.P.(MD).No.3955 of 2023

Premalatha

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs.

1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul, Dindigul district.

2.The State rep. By
Rep. By Inspector of Police,
Chinnalapatti Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(In Crime No.13 of 2023)

... Respondent/Complainant

3. Muneeswari.

... ^{3rd} Respondent/ Defacto Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to call for the records relating to the in Crime No.13/2023 dated 16.02.2023 on the file of the 2nd respondent for the Chinnalapatti police station, Dindigul district and quash the same as against the petitioners.

For petitioner : Mr.M.Viji

For R1 & R2 : Mr.S.Manikandan
Government Advocate (Crl. side)

For R2 : Mr.P.Pragathi for R3

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No.13 of 2023 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioner is that based on the complaint lodged by the 3rd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.13 of 2023 for the offences punishable under Sections 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s) and 3(1)(u) of SC/ST(Prevention of Atrocities) Amendment Act, 2015, against the petitioner.

3.The further contention of the petitioner is that they compromised the issue with the 3rd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioner and the 3rd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioner and the 3rd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioner and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 08.03.2023, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending and inclined to quash all further proceedings in Crime No.13 of 2023 pending on the file of the 1st respondent police in respect of this petitioner.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.13 of 2023, pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioner. The compromise memo is recorded and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

14.03.2023

Index : Yes/No

Internet : Yes/No

TM

To

1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul, Dindigul district.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Chinnalapatti Police Station, Dindigul District.
(In Crime No.13 of 2023)

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.4498 of 2023

G.ILANGO VAN. J.

TM

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.4498 of 2023

14.03.2023