



CRL OP(MD). No.4029 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06.03.2023

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice G.ILANGO VAN

CRL OP(MD. No.4029 of 2023

S. Sangeetha

... Petitioner

Vs

**1.The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.**

**2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Ottanchathiram Police Station,
Dindigul District.**

**3. The Inspector of Police,
Kannivadi Police Station,
Kannivadi, Dindigul District.**

4.A.Senthil Pandiyan

Respondents

**Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.,
directing the 3rd respondent herein not to harass the petitioner by
insisting to pay additional sale consideration to the 4th respondent by
considering the petitioner's representation, dated 13.02.2023 within the**

1/5



CRL OP(MD). No.4029 of 2023

time stipulated by this Court.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.J. Lawrence

For Respondents 1 & 2 : Mr. S. Manikandan
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

This petition has been filed for issuing a direction to the 3rd respondent herein not to harass the petitioner on the basis of the complaint given by the 4th respondent, dated 13.02.2023.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that she purchased the property from the 4th respondent through sale deed dated, 15.09.2022 and also received sale consideration. After that she was in possession of the property, now, the 4th respondent is claiming additional sum of Rs.5,00,000/- and that he has also given a complaint before the third respondent, upon which the third respondent has issued a summon to the petitioner.

3. The learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the



CRL OP(MD). No.4029 of 2023

respondents police would submit that on the basis of the complaint given by the 4th respondent enquiry in CSR.No.250 of 2022 was undertaken and during the course of enquiry, the petitioner has given an undertaking that she will pay the balance amount.

4. Perusal of the enquiry report shows that originally this petitioner only gave a complaint stating that the 4th respondent refused to execute a sale deed. During the course of enquiry, both sides gave an undertaking that they resolved their issues between them. The petitioner herself stated that in respect of the above said issue case is pending and the same will be concluded in the month of June. In view of the above said compromise reached between the parties before the 3rd respondent, nothing survives for adjudication in this petition.

5. With the above observation, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of.

06.03.2023

Index : Yes / No
Internet: yes / No
trp

3/5



CRL OP(MD). No.4029 of 2023

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Ottanchathiram Police Station,
Dindigul District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Kannivadi Police Station,
Kannivadi, Dindigul District.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.4029 of 2023

G.ILANGOVAN,J

trp

CRL OP(MD. No.4029 of 2023

Date : 06.03.2023