



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.4051 of 2023

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 15.03.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

CrI.O.P.(MD) No.4051 of 2023

- 1.Ramesh
- 2.Ponnish @ Bonish Gowtham
- 3.Ayyappan
- 4.Buvanesh
- 5.Jeyaram @ Karthick Jeyaram ... Petitioners / Accused Nos.1 to 5

vs.

- 1.State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Suchindram Police Station,
Kanniyakumari District.
(Crime No.76 of 2019) ... 1st Respondent / Complainant
- 2.Balan ... 2nd Respondent / Defacto Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal original petition filed, under Section 482 Cr.P.C., to call for the records in FIR in Crime No.76 of 2019, on the file of the Inspector of Police, Suchindram Police Station, Kanyakumari District and quash the same.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.4051 of 2023

WEB COPY

For Petitioners : Mr.M.Krishna Sreethar
For R1 : Mr.S.Manikandan
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
For R2 : Mr.T.M.Sreekumar

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No. 76 of 2019 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.76 of 2019 for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 147, 148, 294(b) and 506(ii) of IPC against the petitioners.

3.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioners and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.4051 of 2023

WEB COPY

learned Additional Public Prosecutor and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 01.03.2023, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, all further proceedings in Crime No.76 of 2019 pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is quashed and the compromise memo is recorded.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.76 of 2019, pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

15.03.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No

indu

Page 3 of 4



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.4051 of 2023

G.ILANGOVA, J.

indu

To:

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Suchindram Police Station,
Kanniyakumari District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.4051 of 2023

15.03.2023