



HCP(MD)No.304 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 10.08.2023

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.(MD)No.304 of 2023

Chithra Ammasi

.. Petitioner /Detenu's Mother

Vs.

1.The Principal Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai – 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Tiruchirappalli City,
Tiruchirappalli.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Tiruchirappalli.

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the records pertaining to the impugned detention order passed by the second respondent made in his proceedings in impugned detention order, C.No.106/Detention/C.P.O/T.C/2022 dated 12.08.2022 in under Section 2(ggg) of Tamil Nadu Act



HCP(MD)No.304 of 2023

14/1982 as a “Sexual Offender” and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu namely Barath, S/o.Ammasi Male aged about 21 years, who is detained in Central Prison, Tiruchirappalli, before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Joseph Jerry

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.S.RAMESH,J.)

The petitioner is the mother of the detenu viz., Barath, aged about 21 years, S/o.Ammasi. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in C.No.106/Detention/C.P.O/T.C/2022 dated 12.08.2022 holding him to be a "Sexual Offender", as contemplated under Section 2(ggg) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.



HCP(MD)No.304 of 2023

WEB COPY

3. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly focus his argument on the ground that the detaining authority, after being aware of the fact that there was no bail application filed by the detenu, came to the conclusion that there is a real possibility of the detenu coming out on bail by relying upon the order passed in Cr.M.P.No.423/2021 dated 20.04.2021. The learned counsel therefore submitted that the order that was relied upon by the detaining authority was not similar and on that score, the detention order is liable to be interfered with.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the Habeas Corpus Petition by filing his counter. It is further submitted that investigation has been completed in this case and charge sheet has been filed in Spl.S.C.No.20/2023 and the same is pending before the Mahila Court, Tiruchirappalli.



HCP(MD)No.304 of 2023

5. On carefully going through the detention order, the detaining authority was aware of the fact that no bail application was filed by the detenu. However, the detaining authority took into consideration the order passed in Cr.M.P.No.423/2021 dated 20.04.2021 and came to the conclusion that there is a likelihood of the detenu coming out on bail. Perusal of the order shows that the accused therein was enlarged on bail for the offences under Sections 366, 506(i) of IPC and Section 7 and 8 of POCSO Act, 2012. However, in the present case, the offences involved are under Sections 366, 294(b), 506(i) IPC and 3(a), 4(2) r/w 18, 14(1)(2), 15 of POCSO Act, 2012 and 66E, 67B(b) of I.T. Act and 3(1)(w), (i) of SC/ST (POA) Act and hence, the bail order that was relied upon by the detaining authority cannot be considered to be a similar one.

6. In view of the above, the detention order suffers from non application of mind and the same is liable to be interfered with by this Court. The impugned detention order is, therefore, liable to be quashed.



HCP(MD)No.304 of 2023

WEB COPY

7. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in C.No.106/Detention/C.P.O/T.C/2022 dated 12.08.2022 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Barath, S/o.Ammasi, aged about 21 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(M.S.R.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)
10.08.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Lm

To

- 1.The Principal Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The Commissioner of Police,
Tiruchirappalli City,
Tiruchirappalli.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Tiruchirappalli.



HCP(MD)No.304 of 2023

WEB COPY

4. The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public (Law and Order),
Fort St. George,
Chennai.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.304 of 2023

M.S.RAMESH,J.
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.

Lm

H.C.P.(MD)No.304 of 2023

10.08.2023