



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3815 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 02.03.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3815 of 2023

1.Stephen Arputharaj

2.Lawrance Kirubaharan

3.Robert

4.Jegan

5.Paulthinakaran

6.Hilbert @ Kilbert

7.John @ John David

8.Jagatheesh

9.Thaveethu Mani

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Panagudi Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
In Crime No.191/2021

2.Thangadinakaran

... Respondents

1/6



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3815 of 2023

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records relating to the FIR in Crime No.191 of 2021 dated 07.04.2021 on the file of the first respondent and quash the same against the petitioners concern.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Maheswaran

For R1 : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R2 : Mr.C.Susi Kumar

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed, invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeking orders to call for the records relating to the FIR in Crime No.191 of 2021 dated 07.04.2021 on the file of the first respondent and quash the same against the petitioners.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to political issue, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant with weapons.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3815 of 2023

WEB COPY

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the second respondent has lodged a complaint before the first respondent and on that basis, FIR came to be registered in Crime No.191 of 2021 dated 07.04.2021 for the offences under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(2) IPC against the petitioners.

4. The case is still under the investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

5. A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.P.Jeyapandi Selvin, Special Sub Inspector of Police, Panagudi Police Station, Tirunelveli District as well as by the learned counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.3815 of 2023

parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

6.In the instant case, it is a political dispute and now the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offences under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(2) IPC.

7.The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another* reported in *(2012)10 SCC 303* and *Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath* reported in *(2017)9 SCC 641* were taken into consideration.

8.In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.191 of 2021 pending before the first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.3815 of 2023

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and

as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.191 of 2021 on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order.

02.03.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
gns

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Panagudi Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.3815 of 2023

K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.

gns

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.3815 of 2023

02.03.2023