



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3587 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 02.03.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3587 of 2023

1.Thangadinakaran

2.Navarajan

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.State through

The Inspector of Police,
Panagudi Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
In Crime No.192 of 2021

2.Paulthinakaran

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records relating to the charge sheet in C.C.No.437/2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Vallioor and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.C.Susi Kumar

For R1 : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.3587 of 2023

For R2

: Mr.R.Maheswaran

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed, invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeking orders to call for the records relating to the charge sheet in C.C.No.437 of 2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Vallioor and quash the same.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to political issue, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant by using wooden lock and pushed down him and also kicked him on his chest.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the second respondent has lodged a complaint before the first respondent and on that basis, FIR came to be registered in Crime No.192 of 2021 and after investigation and filing of the final report, the same was taken cognizance in C.C.No.437 of 2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Valliyoer for the offences under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) IPC against the petitioners.



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.3587 of 2023

WEB COPY

4.The case is under trial. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

5.A Joint Memo of Compromise has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsels. The petitioners and the second respondent are present before this Court and and they were identified by Mr.P.Jeyapandi, Special Sub Inspector, Panagudi Police Station, Tirunelveli District as well as by the learned counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

6. In the instant case, it is a political dispute and the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has to power to quash the complaint for the offence under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) IPC.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3587 of 2023

7.The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another*** reported in ***(2012)10 SCC 303*** and ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath*** reported in ***(2017)9 SCC 641*** were taken into consideration.

8.In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in C.C.No.437 of 2022 as against the petitioner pending before the Judicial Magistrate, Valliyoor, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

9.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the proceedings in C.C.No.437 of 2022, on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Valliyoor is quashed as against the petitioners and the joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order.

02.03.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
gns



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.3587 of 2023

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Valliyoor
2. The Inspector of Police,
Panagudi Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.3587 of 2023

K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.

gns

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.3587 of 2023

02.03.2023