



HCP(MD)No.250 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 02.08.2023

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.(MD)No.250 of 2023

Madhavan

.. Petitioner / detenu

Vs.

1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government
State of Tamil Nadu
Home Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.

2.The District Magistrate and District Collector
Office of the District Magistrate and District Collector
Dindigul District, Dindigul

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Madurai Central Prison
Madurai District.

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the entire records, connected with the detention order of the respondent No.2 in Detention order No.124/2022 dated 26.12.2022 and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the body or person of the detenu by name Madhavan, son of Krishnan, aged about 32 years, now detained as Sexual Offender at Madurai Central Prison



HCP(MD)No.250 of 2023

before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Alagumani

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.S.RAMESH, J.)

The petitioner is the detenu viz., Madhavan aged about 32 years, S/o.Krishnan. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in Detention Order No.124/2022 dated 26.12.2022 holding him to be a "Sexual Offender", as contemplated under Section 2(ggg) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus



HCP(MD)No.250 of 2023

Petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly focus his argument on the ground that the detaining authority after being aware of the fact that there was no bail application filed by the detenu came to the conclusion that there is a real possibility of the detenu coming out on bail by relying upon the order passed in CrI.M.P.No.311/2020. The learned counsel therefore submitted that the order that was relied upon by the detaining authority was not a similar since in the similar case, bail was granted to the accused therein on the ground that there was no serious objection from the prosecution side and on that score, the detention order is liable to be interfered with.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the Habeas Corpus Petition by filing his counter.

5. On carefully going through the detention order, the detaining authority was aware of the fact that no bail application was filed by the detenu. However, the detaining authority took into consideration the order passed in CrI.M.P.No.311/2020 dated 10.03.2022 and came to the conclusion that there is a likelihood of the detenu coming out on bail.



HCP(MD)No.250 of 2023

Perusal of the order shows that the accused therein had already suffered 46 days incarceration and that there was no serious objection from the prosecution side. Hence, the bail order that was relied upon by the detaining authority cannot be considered to be a similar one.

6. In view of the above, the detention order suffers from non application of mind and the same is liable to be interfered with by this Court. The impugned detention order is, therefore, liable to be quashed.

7. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in Detention order No.124/2022 dated 26.12.2022 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Madhavan S/o.Krishnan, aged about 32 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(M.S.R.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)
02.08.2023

Internet : Yes
RR



HCP(MD)No.250 of 2023

To

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government
State of Tamil Nadu
Home Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.
2. The District Magistrate and District Collector
Office of the District Magistrate and District Collector
Dindigul District, Dindigul
3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Madurai Central Prison
Madurai District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.250 of 2023

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.

RR

H.C.P.(MD)No.250 of 2023

02.08.2023