



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)
Date : 23/02/2023
PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP(MD) . No.3558 of 2023

1. Danesh @ Muthukumar,

2. VCK.Balakrishnan,

3. Subramani,

4. Baskaran @ Baskar,

5. Yokaraj,

6. Vivekanandan,

... Petitioners/Accused 1 to 6

Vs

The State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Velliyani Police Station,
Karur.

In Crime No.57 of 2023.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : J.Senthil Kumaraiah,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.A.Albert James,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.57 of 2023 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 to A6, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 353 and 506(ii) of I.P.C., in Crime No.57 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 12.02.2023 at about 10.30 a.m., the petitioners along with other accused had unlawfully assembled in the Jathabi Village, without getting any permission from the authority and had opened the water tank and disbursed water to the public. When the same was questioned by the de-facto



complainant, the petitioners abused in filthy language and gave a life threat and also restrained the de-facto complainant from discharging his duty. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocents and a false case has been foisted against them. He would further submit that the petitioners are politicians belonging to the opposition party. He would further submit that due to political motive, a false complaint has been given. Hence, he would seek for anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioners along with other accused had unlawfully assembled in the Jathabi Village, without getting any permission from the authority and had opened the water tank and disbursed water to the public. When the same was questioned by the de-facto complainant, the petitioners abused in filthy language and gave a life threat and also restrained the de-facto complainant from discharging his duty and hence, he would object for grant of anticipatory bail.

5.Heard. Perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6.Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions:

7.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Karur, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-

23/02/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/03/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

SJI

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, KARUR.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, KARUR DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VELLIYANAI POLICE STATION,
KARUR.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to Mr.J.SENTHIL KUMARAI AH Advocate SR.No.2913

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.3558 of 2023

Date :23/02/2023

SA/SAR.3/07.03.2023/3P/6C