



WEB COPY

CRL OP(MD).



23

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 23/02/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP(MD) . No.3495 of 2023

Mrs.Sahayam @ Sahayamary

... Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

The State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Chinnakovilankulam Police Station
Tenkasi District.
(Crime No.19/2023).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : J.Karthick

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-


For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.19/2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 353, 506(ii) of I.P.C, in Crime No. 19 of 2023, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant, who is working as Grade II Police Constable is that on 13.02.2023 at about 18.25 hours, an enquiry was conducted in the respondent Police Station between the petitioner and her husband and during that time, there was a wordy quarrel between them. When the defacto complainant attempted to pacify them, the first accused had assaulted him by nail and the accused persons also prevented him from discharging his official duties. Hence, the complaint.

<https://www.mca.gov.in>



3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. A false case has been foisted against the petitioner, due to matrimonial dispute. Hence, prays to release her on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that in this case, the first accused had assaulted the defacto complainant and the accused persons also prevented him from discharging his official duty. Hence, he strongly objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed before this Court.

6. Taking into consideration of the facts and submissions made by the learned counsels and considering the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Sankarankovil**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the Panavadalichattiram police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law, as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;



WEB COPY

CRL OP(MD)



23

[f] if the accused/ petitioner thereafter absconds, sh
FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
23/02/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/03/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

PNM

TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Sankarankovil.
2. Do Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Tirunelveli.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Chinnakovilankulam Police Station,
Tenkasi District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

Copy to

The Inspector of Police,
Panavadalichattiram police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.3495 of 2023

Date : 23/02/2023

NA/SAR-2/07.03.2023/3P/6C